arXiv:1611.05159v1 [math.OA] 16 Nov 2016

THE CLASSIFICATION OF SIMPLE SEPARABLE KK-CONTRACTIBLE C*-ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE NUCLEAR DIMENSION

GEORGE A. ELLIOTT AND ZHUANG NIU

Abstract. The class of simple separable KK-contractible (KK-equivalent to $\{0\}$) C*-algebras which have finite nuclear dimension is shown to classified by the Elliott invariant. In particular, the class of C*-algebras $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is classifiable, where A is a simple separable C*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension and $\mathcal{W}$ is the simple inductive limit of Razak algebras with unique trace, which is bounded (see [Raz02] and [Jac13]).

1. Introduction

The classification of separable simple unital UCT C*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension has been completed ([GLN15], [EGLN15a], [EN16], [EGLN15b], [TWW]). In this paper, we study the classification for non-unital C*-algebras. In particular, the following classification theorem is obtained:

Theorem (Theorem 8.26)**.** *The class of simple separable KK-contractible C*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension and with non-zero traces is classified by the invariant* $(\mathrm{T}^+A, \Sigma A)$*. Any C*-algebra A in this class is a simple inductive limits of Razak algebras.*

In the theorem, T^+A is the cone of densely defined lower semicontinuous traces of A with the topology of pointwise convergence, and ΣA is the compact subset consisting of the traces with norm at most one.

Consider the C*-algebra $\mathcal{W}$, the simple inductive limit of Razak algebras with unique trace which is bounded (see [Raz02] and [Jac13]). It is KK-contractible, and hence $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is KK-contractible for any nuclear C*-algebra A. Thus if A has finite nuclear dimension, the C*-algebra $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ has finite nuclear dimension as well (if the Toms-Winter conjecture holds, then $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ has finite nuclear dimension for all simple nuclear C*-algebra A), and hence is classifiable:

Corollary (Corollary 8.29)**.** *Let A be a simple separable C*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension. Then the C*-algebra $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is classifiable. In particular, $\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}$.*

The strategy to the classification theorem is similar to the unital case. Assume A is a simple C*-algebra with trivial K_0-group, has finite nuclear dimension, and the norm function on T^+A is continuous (assume $\mathrm{T}^+A \neq \{0\}$), then $A \otimes Q$ has the following tracial approximation structure (Theorem 7.7):

For any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are projections $q, p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$, $S \subset p_1(A \otimes Q)p_1$, S is a Razak algebra, such that

(1) $q + p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = 1_{\tilde{A} \otimes Q}$, q is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to p_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,

(2) $\|[p_i, a \otimes 1_Q]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $p_i a p_i \in_\varepsilon v_i S v_i^*$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where $v_i \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, are the partial isometries satisfying $v_i^* v_i = p_1$ and $v_i v_i^* = p_i$.

With tracial approximation structure and a stable uniqueness theorem (Corollary 8.16), the classification theorem is then obtained.

2. The reduction class $\mathcal{R}$

Let A be a C*-algebra. Denote by T^+A to be the cone of densely defined lower semicontinuous traces of A with the topology of pointwise convergence, and denote by ΣA the compact convex subset consisting of the traces with norm at most one.

Definition 2.1. A C*-algebra A is said to be in the reduction class, denoted by $\mathcal{R}$, if A is simple, separable, and non-unital, if any element of T^+A is bounded, and if, moreover, the affine function on T^+A arising from the Cuntz class of a strict positive element is continuous (equivalently, the norm function on T^+A is continuous). (The case $\mathrm{T}^+A = \{0\}$ vacuously satisfies the last two conditions, but let us exclude this case.)

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a nonzero simple separable Jiang-Su stable C*-algebra. Then A is stably isomorphic to a C*-algebra in the reduction class.*

Proof. Choose a non-zero positive element a in the Pedersen ideal of A. By Lemma 6.5 of [ERS11], there exists a positive element c of A such that the Cuntz class $[c]$ gives rise to the same affine function on T^+A as a, and in additional is not the continuous of a projection. In particular, this affine function is the norm function on T^+A for the non-unital hereditary sub-C*-algebra B of A, which on the one hand is in the reduction class, and on the other hand by Brown's theorem is stably isomorphic to A. (We are using Proposition 4.7 of [CP79] which says that the restriction map is an isomorphism between the topological cones T^+A and T^+B.) □

The following two lemmas shows that, in particular, a C*-algebra in the reduction class always has an approximate unit (e_n) such that e_n is self-adjoint with spectrum $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be a separable non-unital C*-algebra. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a subalgebra $B \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon B$, but $B^\perp \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Pick a strictly positive element, and denote it by by h. Then 0 is a limit point of $\mathrm{sp}(h)$, as otherwise A must be unital. Then, for the given $\mathcal{F}$ and ε, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \overline{g_\delta(h) A g_\delta(h)} =: B,$$

where

$$g_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, \delta], \\ (t - \delta)/(1 - \delta), & t \in [\delta, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Since 0 is a limit point of $\mathrm{sp}(h)$, it is clear that $B^\perp \neq \{0\}$, as desired. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a separable simple non-unital non-elementary C*-algebra. Then there is an approximate unit (e_n) such that each e_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$, is positive of norm one, and has full spectrum.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.3, there is a subalgebra $B \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon B$, but $B^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Since B is simple and non-elementary, the hereditary subalgebra $B^\perp \neq \{0\}$ is also non-elementary, and hence there is $e' \in B^\perp$ such that $\mathrm{sp}(e') = [0,1]$. Pick a norm-one positive element $e'' \in B$ such that $\|e''a - a\| < 2\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Then the element $e := e' \oplus e''$ satisfies

$$\|ea - a\| < 4\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{sp}(e) = [0,1],$$

as desired. □

3. Trace model algebras for stably projectionless C*-algebras

Definition 3.1 ([Ell96])**.** Let E and F be finite dimensional C*-algebras, and let $\phi_0, \phi_1 : E \to F$ be two homomorphisms (not necessarily unital). Then the C*-algebra

$$A(E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1) = \{(e, f) \in E \oplus \mathrm{C}([0,1], F) : f(0) = \phi_0(e),\ f(1) = \phi_1(e)\}$$

is called an Elliott-Thomsen algebra or a point–line algebra.

Definition 3.2 ([Raz02])**.** Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider homomorphisms $\phi_0, \phi_1 : \mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{M}_{k(n+1)}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\phi_0(a) = a \otimes 1_n \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(a) = a \otimes 1_{n+1}.$$

Then the C*-algebra

$$S(k, n) = A(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{M}_{k(n+1)}(\mathbb{C}), \phi_0, \phi_1)$$

is called a Razak algebra. Let us also call a direct sum of such C*-algebras a Razak algebra, and denote this class of C*-algebras by $\mathcal{R}_z$.

As shown in [Ell96], any simple partially ordered group together with a pairing with a topological cone with a base a Choquet simples can be realized as the value of the invariant of a simple inductive limits of Elliott-Thomsen algebras. For the propose of this paper, we shall consider the simple inductive systems (S_i, ι_i) such that each S_i a Q-stable point–line algebras and

(1) All traces of S are bounded and all traces of S_i are bounded (this is automatic).
(2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a sufficiently large i such that if one writes each $S_i = S\{E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1\}$ where $E = \bigoplus_p Q$, $F = \bigoplus_l Q$, and $\phi_0, \phi_1 : E \to F$, and writes

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\phi_0]_{1,1} & [\phi_0]_{1,2} & \cdots & [\phi_0]_{1,p} \\ [\phi_0]_{2,1} & [\phi_0]_{2,2} & \cdots & [\phi_0]_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [\phi_0]_{l,1} & [\phi_0]_{l,2} & \cdots & [\phi_0]_{l,p} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} [\phi_1]_{1,1} & [\phi_1]_{1,2} & \cdots & [\phi_1]_{1,p} \\ [\phi_1]_{2,1} & [\phi_1]_{2,2} & \cdots & [\phi_1]_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [\phi_1]_{l,1} & [\phi_1]_{l,2} & \cdots & [\phi_1]_{l,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

as the multiplicity matrices of ϕ_0 and ϕ_1, respectively, then

$$[\phi_k]_{i,1} + [\phi_k]_{i,2} + \cdots + [\phi_k]_{i,p} > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad k = 0, 1,\ i = 1, 2, ..., l.$$

(3) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $e(\varepsilon) \in S_i^+$ for sufficiently large i such that $\|e(\varepsilon)\| = 1$ and

$$\tau(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+S_j,\ \|\tau\| = 1,\ j \geq i \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\tau(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+S,\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.3. It follows from (3.2) that the norm function $\tau \mapsto \|\tau\|$ is continuous on $\mathrm{T}S$. Hence the tracial states of S form a compact base of $\mathrm{T}S$.

Then, any compact metrizable Choquet simplex can be realized as the simplex of tracial states of a simple inductive limit of Razak algebras and the inductive system satisfies the conditions above:

Theorem 3.4. *Let Δ be a compact metrizable Chouqet simplex. Then there is a simple inductive limit C*-algebra $S = \varinjlim(S_i, \phi_i)$, where each $S_i \in \mathcal{R}_z$, such that the tracial states of S form a compact simplex which is homeomorphic to Δ, and moreover, $S \otimes Q$ satisfies Conditions (1), (2), and (3).*

Proof. Consider the compact metrizable Chouqet simplex Ξ. Consider the strictly positive $f \in \mathrm{Aff}C$ with $f(\Delta) = \{1\}$, and pick a (unital) simple AF algebra $B = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i}$ such that $\mathrm{T}^+B \cong C$ and the isomorphism sends the tracial state with norm one to Ξ.

Choose a decreasing sequence of positive numbers (ε_i) such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i < \infty$. Also choose a dense sequence (x_i) in $(0, 1)$.

Write $B_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_1} \mathrm{M}_{k_{1,j}}(\mathbb{C})$, and put

$$S_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_1} S(k_{1,j}, 1).$$

Write $B_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_i} \mathrm{M}_{k_{i,j}}(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that the ith algebra

$$S_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_i} S(k_{i,j}, n_{i,j})$$

is already defined for some $n_{i,j}$. Let us construct S_{i+1} and a homomorphism $\phi_i : S_i \to S_{i+1}$.

Write $B_{i+1} = \bigoplus_{j'=1}^{w_{j+1}} \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}}(\mathbb{C})$. Write $m_{j,j'}$, $1 \leq j \leq w_i$, $1 \leq j' \leq w_{i+1}$, the multiplicities of the embedding of B_i into B_{i+1}. Since B is simple, one may assume that the multiplicities are sufficiently large such that

$$m_{j,j'} - n_{i,j} - 1 > \frac{n_{i,j}}{\varepsilon_i}, \quad j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1},\ j = 1, 2, ..., w_i. \tag{3.3}$$

Then choose an integer l sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{l}{l + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{w_i}(m_{j,j'} - 1)} > 1 - \varepsilon_i, \quad j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1}.$$

For each $j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1}$, define a homomorphism $\theta_{j'} : S_i \to \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$(f_1, f_2, ..., f_{w_i}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1(*_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_1(*_1)}_{m_{1,j'}-n_{i,1}-1} \\ & & & f_1(x_i) \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} f_{w_i}(*_{w_i}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_{w_i}(*_{w_i})}_{m_{w_i,j'}-n_{i,w_i}-1} \\ & & & f_{w_i}(x_i) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $j = 1, 2, ..., w_i$, $j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1}$, define

$$\omega_{j,j'} : S(k_{i,j}, n_{i,j}) \to \mathrm{C}([0,1], \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}(m_{j,j'}-1)}(\mathbb{C}))$$

by sending f_j to the function

$$t \mapsto \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_j(*_j) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_j(*_j)}_{m_{j,j'}-2n_{i,j}-2} \\ & & & f_1(t) \\ & & & & f_1(x_i) \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} f_j(*_j) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_j(*_j)}_{m_{j,j'}-2n_{i,j}-2} \\ & & & f_j(t) \\ & & & & f_j(x_i) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}}_{m_{j,j'}-1},$$

and put

$$\omega_{j'} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_i} \omega_{j,j'} : S_i \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{w_i} \mathrm{C}([0,1], \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}(m_{j,j'}-1)}(\mathbb{C}))$$

For each $j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1}$, define a homomorphism $\upsilon_{j'} : S_i \to \mathrm{C}([0,1], \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}}(\mathbb{C}))$ by sending $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_{w_i})$ to the function

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1(*_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_1(*_1)}_{m_{1,j'}-n_{i,1}-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} f_{w_i}(*_{w_i}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_{w_i}(*_{w_i})}_{m_{w_i,j'}-n_{i,w_i}-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, consider

$$S_{i+1} = \bigoplus_{j'=1}^{w_{i+1}} S(k_{i+1,j'}, n_{i+1,j'}),$$

where

$$n_{i+1,j'} = l + \sum_{j=1}^{w_i} (m_{j,j'} - 1), \quad j' = 1, 2, ..., w_{i+1},$$

and consider the homomorphism $\phi_i : S_i \to S_{i+1}$ defined by

$$\phi_i = \bigoplus_{j'=1}^{w_{i+1}} U_{j'}^* (\underbrace{\theta_{j'} \oplus \cdots \oplus \theta_{j'}}_{l} \oplus \omega_{j'} \oplus \upsilon_{j'}) U_{j'},$$

where $U_{j'} \in \mathrm{C}([0,1], \mathrm{M}_{k_{i+1,j'}(l+1+\sum_{j=1}^{w_i}(m_{j,j'}-1))}(\mathbb{C}))$ is a unitary satisfying $U_{j'}(0) = 1$ and

$$U_{j'}^*(1)((\underbrace{\theta_{j'} \oplus \cdots \oplus \theta_{j'}}_{l} \oplus \omega_{j'} \oplus \upsilon_{j'})(f_1, f_2, ..., f_{w_i}))(1)U_{j'}(1)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1(*_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_1(*_1)}_{m_{1,j'}-n_{i,1}-1} \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} f_{w_i}(*_{w_i}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \underbrace{f_{w_i}(*_{w_i})}_{m_{w_i,j'}-n_{i,w_i}-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{l+\sum_{j=1}^{w_i}(m_{j,j'}-1)} & \\ & & & 0_{k_{i+1,j'}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a direct calculation shows that inductive system (S_i, ϕ_i) satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. □

4. The Affine Space

Denote by $\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A$ the continuous affine maps from T^+A to $\mathbb{R}$. Set

$$\|a\|_\infty = \sup\{|a(\tau)| : \tau \in \Sigma A\}, \quad a \in \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A.$$

Then, if all traces of A are bounded, $(\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a (ordered) Banach space.

Define the scale of $\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}A$ to be the closed convex set

$$\mathrm{Aff}_1\mathrm{T}^+A := \overline{\{\hat{a} \in \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A : a \in A^+,\ \|a\| \le 1\}}.$$

Note that $\|a\|_\infty \le 1$ if $a \in \mathrm{Aff}_1\mathrm{T}^+A$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A, B be C*-algebras, and let $\phi : A \to B$ be a homomorphism. Then $\phi_{\mathrm{T}} : \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A \to \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+B$ is a positive linear contraction, and $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{Aff}_1\mathrm{T}^+A) \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}_1\mathrm{T}^+B$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A$. If $a(\tau) \le 1$ for all $a \in \mathrm{Aff}_1\mathrm{T}^+A$, then $\|\tau\| \le 1$ (in other words, $\tau \in \Sigma A$).*

Proof. It follows from the assumption that $\tau(a) \le 1$, $a \in A^+$ with $\|a\| \le 1$. Then $\|\tau\| = \sup\{\tau(a) : a \in A^+, \|a\| \le 1\} \le 1$. □

Since $\|\hat{a}\|_\infty \le 1$ for all $a \in A^+$ with $\|a\| \le 1$, one has

Corollary 4.3. *For any C*-algebra A, one has*

$$\tau \in \Sigma A \text{ if and only if } |a(\tau)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A \text{ with } \|a\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Let (A_i, ι_i) be an inductive system with ι_i injective. Assume that all traces of A are bounded, and assume that all traces of each A_i are bounded. Then, one has the inductive system

$$(\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A_1, \|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}) \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} (\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A_2, \|\cdot\|_{2,\infty}) \xrightarrow{(\iota_2)_*} \cdots \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \ .$$

The dual system is

$$(\mathrm{T}^+A_1, \Sigma A_1) \xleftarrow{(\iota_1)^*} (\mathrm{T}^+A_2, \Sigma A_2) \xleftarrow{(\iota_2)^*} \cdots \longleftarrow (\mathrm{T}^+A, \Sigma A) \ .$$

Recall that

Lemma 4.4 (Lemma 2.8 of [EN16])**.** *Let Δ be a compact metrizable Choquet simplex. Then, for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(\Delta)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and unital (pointwise) positive linear maps ϱ and θ,*

$$\mathrm{Aff}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\varrho} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathrm{Aff}(\Delta),$$

where the unit of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is $(1, ..., 1)$, such that

$$\|\theta(\varrho(f)) - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let (A_n, ι_n) be an inductive system of C*-algebras with ϕ_n injective, and assume that all traces of $\varinjlim A_n$ are bounded. Fix a compact base $\Delta \subset \mathrm{T}^+A$. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists*

$$\gamma_n : \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A \to \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A_n$$

for sufficiently large n such that

$$|(\iota_{n,\infty} \circ \gamma_n(a))(\tau) - a(\tau)| < \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \Delta.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, one only has to approximately lift a positive continuous affine map $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A$ to a map $\gamma_n : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A_n$ for given finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. But since $\mathbb{R}^m$ is finite dimensional, the lifting can be easily constructed. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $S = \varinjlim(S_n, \iota_n)$ be a model algebra as in Theorem 3.4. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists*

$$\gamma_m : \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S \to \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S_m$$

for sufficiently large m such that

$$|a(\gamma_m^* \circ \iota_{m,\infty}^*(\tau)) - a(\tau)| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}, \ \|\tau\| = 1,$$

and

$$1 - \varepsilon < \|\gamma_m^*(\tau)\| < 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+S_m, \ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ for the time being. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that there is $e(\varepsilon) \in S_n$ such that

$$\tau(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}(S_m),\ \|\tau\| = 1,\ m \geq n, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\tau(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}(S),\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Applying Lemma 4.5, one has $\gamma_n : \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S \mapsto \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S_n$ such that

$$|a(\gamma_n^* \circ \iota_{n,\infty}^*(\tau)) - a(\tau)| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{e(\varepsilon)\},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Consider the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \mathrm{T}^+(S) \\ & & \overset{\gamma_n^*}{\nearrow} & & \| \\ \mathrm{T}^+S_n & \xleftarrow[\iota_{n,m}^*]{} & \mathrm{T}^+S_m & \xleftarrow[\iota_{m,\infty}^*]{} & \mathrm{T}^+S. \end{array}$$

With m sufficiently large, one has that for any $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A_m$ with $\|\tau\| = 1$, there is $\tau' \in \mathrm{T}^+A$ such that

$$|\tau(e(\varepsilon)) - \tau'(e(\varepsilon))| < \varepsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$|\tau(\gamma_n(e(\varepsilon))) - \tau'(\gamma_n(e(\varepsilon)))| < \varepsilon. \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.1),

$$1 \geq \tau(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

and then by (4.4), one has

$$1 + \varepsilon > \tau'(e(\varepsilon)) > 1 - 2\varepsilon \tag{4.6}$$

and therefore by (4.2),

$$1 - 2\varepsilon < \|\tau'\| < \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Then, by (4.3),

$$|((\iota_{n,\infty} \circ \gamma_n)(e(\varepsilon)))(\tau') - \tau'(e(\varepsilon))| < \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon},$$

and then by (4.6)

$$1 - 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} < ((\iota_{n,\infty} \circ \gamma_n)(e(\varepsilon)))(\tau') < 1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

By (4.5),

$$1 - 3\varepsilon - \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} < ((\iota_{n,m} \circ \gamma_n)(e(\varepsilon)))(\tau) < 1 + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon},$$

and therefore, it follows from (4.2) that

$$1 - 3\varepsilon - \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} < \|(\gamma_n^* \circ \iota_{n,m}^*)(\tau)\| < (1 + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon})\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Then, with sufficiently small ε, the map $\gamma_m := \iota_{n,m} \circ \gamma_n$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. $\square$

5. A stable uniqueness theorem

The main results (Corollary 5.6 and Corollary 5.7) in this section are probably well known to experts; they will be used to show the trace factorization theorem (Theorem 6.1). A more general stable uniqueness theorem will be proved in Section 8.1 including the case that the codomain algebra is not necessarily unital. (If the codomain algebra B is not σ-unital, as if $B = \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ for nonunital C*-algebras B_n, one will have troubles for the picture of $\mathrm{KK}(A, B)$. This difficuty is handled in Section 8.1 by considering a certain sub-C*-algebra of B.)

Definition 5.1. Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $L : A_+^1 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a map. Then a homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$, where B is a unital C*-algebra, is L-full if for any $a \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are contractions $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{L(a)}$ in B such that

$$1_B = x_1^* \phi(a) x_1 + x_2^* \phi(a) x_2 + \cdots + x_{L(a)}^* \phi(a) x_{L(a)}.$$

Definition 5.2. Let A be a C*-algebra. Denote by

$$\mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A) = \{p \in \mathrm{M}_n(A) : p \text{ is a projection, } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and define

$$\underline{\mathrm{Proj}}_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A \otimes C_k),$$

where C_k is a commutative C*-algebra satisfying

$$\mathrm{K}_0(C_k) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{K}_1(C_k) = \{0\}.$$

Also define

$$\mathrm{Proj}_\infty(\widetilde{A}) \cap \mathrm{K}_0(A) = \{p \in \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(\widetilde{A}) : p \text{ is not equivalent to a projection in } \mathrm{M}_\infty(\mathbb{C}1_{\widetilde{A}})\}.$$

The following theorem is well known.

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be a unital separable exact C*-algebra that satisfies the UCT. Let $L : A_+^1 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any admissible codomain algebra D of finite type, any unital L-full homomorphism $\iota : A \to D$, and any nuclear homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \to D$, if

(1) *$[\phi] = [\psi]$ in $\mathrm{KL}(A, D)$,*
(2) *$\phi(1_C)$ is unitarily equivalent to $\psi(1_C)$,*

then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(D)$ such that

$$\|u\mathrm{diag}(\phi(c), \underbrace{\iota(c), ..., \iota(c)}_{n}) u^* - \mathrm{diag}(\psi(c), \underbrace{\iota(c), ..., \iota(c)}_{n})\| < \varepsilon, \quad c \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let A be a separable, unital, exact C*-algebra that satisfies the UCT. Let $L : A_+^1 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, finite sets $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset A$, a constant $\delta > 0$, and a finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A)$ such that, for any admissible codomain algebra D of finite type, any unital $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\phi, \psi, \iota : A \to D$, if

(1) *$[\phi(p)] = [\psi(p)]$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$,*
(2) *$\iota(a)$ is $L(a)$-full for any $a \in \mathcal{H}$,*

then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(D)$ such that

$$\|u\mathrm{diag}(\phi(c), \underbrace{\iota(c), ..., \iota(c)}_{n})u^* - \mathrm{diag}(\psi(c), \underbrace{\iota(c), ..., \iota(c)}_{n})\| < \varepsilon, \quad c \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Assume the statement were not true. There is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that for any n, any finite sets $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset A$, any constant δ, any finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A)$, there is an admissible codomain algebra D and unital $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps satisfying

(1) $[\phi(p)] = [\psi(p)]$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$,
(2) $\iota(a)$ is L-full for any $a \in \mathcal{H}$,

but

$$\max_{c\in\mathcal{F}} \|u(\phi(c) \oplus (\bigoplus^n \iota(c)))u^* - \psi(c) \oplus (\bigoplus^n \iota(c))\| \geq \varepsilon$$

for any unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(D)$.

Applying Theorem 5.3 to $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$, one obtains n. Fix $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ and n. Then choose sequences

(1) $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \cdots$ with $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{G}_k} = A$,
(2) $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \cdots$ with $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_k} = A^+$,
(3) $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \delta_k = 0$,
(4) $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}_2 \subset \cdots$ with $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_k = \underline{\mathrm{K}}(A)$.

There are admissible codomain algebras D_k and unital $\mathcal{G}_k$-δ_k-multiplicative maps $\phi_k, \psi_k, \iota_k : A \to D_k$ satisfying

(1) $[\phi_k(p)] = [\psi_k(p)]$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$,
(2) $\iota_k(a)$ is Δ-full for any $a \in \mathcal{H}_k$,

but

$$\max_{c\in\mathcal{F}} \|u\mathrm{diag}\{\phi_k(c), \underbrace{\iota_k(c), ..., \iota_k(c)}_{n}\}u^* - \mathrm{diag}\{\psi_k(c), \underbrace{\iota_k(c), ..., \iota_k(c)}_{n}\}\| \geq \varepsilon \tag{5.1}$$

for any unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(D_k)$.

Consider the homomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma : A \to \prod D_k / \bigoplus D_k$ define by $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \phi_k$, $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \psi_k$, and $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \iota_k$, respectively. Then one has

$$[\Phi] = [\Psi] \quad \text{in } KL(A, \prod D_k / \bigoplus D_k).$$

Moreover, the map Σ is L-full. Indeed, let a be a non-zero element in $\bigcup \mathcal{H}_k$ and assume that $a \in \mathcal{H}_{k_0}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$, for each $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = k_0, k_0 + 1, ...$, there exist contractions

$x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, ..., x_{m,\Delta(a)}$ such that

$$1_{D_m} = x_{m,1}^*\iota_m(a)x_{m,1} + x_{m,2}^*\iota_m(a)x_{m,2} + \cdots + x_{m,L(a)}^*\iota_m(a)x_{m,L(a)}.$$

Consider the contractions

$$x_i := (\underbrace{0, ..., 0}_{k_0-1}, x_{k_0,j}, x_{k_0+1,j}, ...), \quad j = 1, 2, ..., L(a).$$

One has

$$1_{\prod D_k/\bigoplus D_k} = x_1^*\Sigma(a)x_1 + x_2^*\Sigma(a)x_2 + \cdots + x_{L(a)}^*\Sigma(a)x_{L(a)}.$$

Since $A^+ = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{H}_k}$, one has that Σ is L-full. By Theorem 5.3, there is a unitary

$$U \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(\prod D_k/\bigoplus D_k)$$

such that

$$\|U^*\mathrm{diag}\{\Phi(a), \underbrace{\Sigma(a), ..., \Sigma(a)}_{n}\}U - \mathrm{diag}\{\Psi(a), \underbrace{\Sigma(a), ..., \Sigma(a)}_{n}\}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then, by lifting U to a unitary in $\prod D_k$, one obtains a contradiction to (8.1). □

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be a unital separable exact C*-algebra satisfying the UCT. Let $\rho : A^+ \to (0, \infty)$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, finite sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A)$, $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to Q$ are unital $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative map satisfying

(1) $[\phi(p)]_0 = [\psi(p)]_0$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, *and*
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma(a)) > \rho(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{H}$,

then there is a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(Q)$ such that

$$\|u^*\mathrm{diag}(\phi(a), \underbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}_{n})u - \mathrm{diag}(\psi(a), \underbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}_{n})\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 12.4 of [GLN15], there is a function $L : A^+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\mathrm{tr}(b) > \rho(a)$ for some $b \in Q^+$, then b is $L(a)$-full. Then the statement follows directly from Theorem 5.4. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let A be a separable exact C*-algebra (with or without a unit) satisfying the UCT. Let $\rho : A^+ \setminus \{0\} \to (0, \infty)$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, finite sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathrm{Proj}_\infty(\widetilde{A}) \cap \mathrm{K}_0(A)$, $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to Q$ are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative map satisfying

(1) $[\phi(p)]_0 = [\psi(p)]_0$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, *and*
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma(a)) > \rho(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{H}$,

then there is a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(Q)$ such that

$$\|u^*\mathrm{diag}(\phi(a), \underbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}_{n})u - \mathrm{diag}(\psi(a), \underbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}_{n})\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. If A is unital, then it follows from Corollary 5.5 directly. Then let us assume that A is nonunital.

Consider the unitization $\widetilde{A}$. Since A is nonunital, A is an essential ideal of $\widetilde{A}$ (as if $(a,-\lambda)\perp A$, then a straightforward calculation shows that a must be the unit of A and $\lambda=1$), and therefore $a^{\frac{1}{2}}Aa^{\frac{1}{2}}\neq\{0\}$ for any nonzero positive element $a\in\widetilde{A}$. Then there is a nonzero positive element $a'\in A$ such that $a'\leq a$. So there is a map $J:\widetilde{A}^+\setminus\{0\}\to A^+\setminus\{0\}$ such that

$$a\geq J(a),\quad a\in\widetilde{A}^+\setminus\{0\}.$$

Then put

$$\widetilde{\rho}(a)=\rho(J(a)),\quad a\in\widetilde{A}^+\setminus\{0\}.$$

Applying Corollary 5.5 to $(\mathcal{F},\varepsilon)$ with respect to $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{\rho}$, one obtains n, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\subset\widetilde{A}$, and $\delta>0$. Choose finite subset $\mathcal{G}\subset A$ such that if $\theta:A\to Q$ is $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative, then the unitization $\widetilde{\theta}:\widetilde{A}\to Q$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-δ-multiplicative; set

$$\mathcal{H}=J(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}});$$

since $\mathrm{K}_0(\widetilde{A})=\mathrm{K}_0(A)\oplus\mathrm{K}_0(\mathbb{C}1_{\widetilde{A}})$, one may assume that any element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ has the form of $p-q$ with $\pi(p)=\pi(q)$, and thus set $\mathcal{P}=\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}\subset\mathrm{Proj}_\infty(\widetilde{A})\cap\mathrm{K}_0(A)$.

It now follows that the tuple $(n,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$ satisfies the conclusion of the corollary.

Indeed, let $\phi,\psi,\sigma:A\to Q$ be maps satisfying the assumption of the corollary. Consider the unitizations $\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi},\widetilde{\sigma}:\widetilde{A}\to Q$. Then, for each $a\in\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{\sigma}(a))\geq\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{\sigma}(J(a)))=\mathrm{tr}(\sigma(J(a)))\geq\rho(J(a))=\widetilde{\rho}(a).$$

Since $\mathcal{P}=\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, it is clear that

$$[\widetilde{\phi}(p)]_0=[\widetilde{\psi}(p)]_0,\quad p\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Then it follows from Corollary 5.5 that there is a unitary $u\in\mathrm{M}_{n+1}(Q)$ such that

$$\|u^*\mathrm{diag}(\widetilde{\phi}(a),\underbrace{\widetilde{\sigma}(a),\widetilde{\sigma}(a),...,\widetilde{\sigma}(a)}_{n})u-\mathrm{diag}(\widetilde{\psi}(a),\underbrace{\widetilde{\sigma}(a),\widetilde{\sigma}(a),...,\widetilde{\sigma}(a)}_{n})\|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi},\widetilde{\sigma}$ are extensions of ϕ,ψ,σ respectively, one has

$$\|u^*\mathrm{diag}(\phi(a),\underbrace{\sigma(a),\sigma(a),...,\sigma(a)}_{n})u-\mathrm{diag}(\psi(a),\underbrace{\sigma(a),\sigma(a),...,\sigma(a)}_{n})\|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F},$$

as desired. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let A be a separable exact C*-algebra (with or without unit) satisfying the UCT. Let $\rho:A^+\setminus\{0\}\to(0,\infty)$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F}\subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there are finite sets $\mathcal{P}\subset\mathrm{Proj}_\infty(\widetilde{A})\cap\mathrm{K}_0(A)$, $\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H}\subset A$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $\phi_0,\phi_1:A\to Q$ are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps satisfying

(1) $[\phi_0(p)]_0=[\phi_1(p)]_0$, $p\in\mathcal{P}$, *and*
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_0(a))>\rho(a)$ *and* $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_1(a))>\rho(a)$, $a\in\mathcal{H}$

then there is a path $\{\phi_t,\ t\in[0,1]\}$ *connecting* ϕ_0 *and* ϕ_1 *such that* ϕ_t *is* $\mathcal{F}$*-*ε*-multiplicative for each* $t\in[0,1]$*, and*

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\phi_0(a)-\phi_t(a))|<|\mathrm{tr}(\phi_0(a)-\phi_1(a))|+2\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F},\ t\in[0,1].$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 5.6 to $(\mathcal{F},\varepsilon)$ with respect to L, one obtains $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{H}$, δ, and n. Then $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{H}$ and δ satisfy the conclusion of the statement.

Indeed, let $\phi_0,\phi_1:A\to Q$ be $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps satisfying

(1) $[\phi_0(p)]_0=[\phi_1(p)]_0$, $p\in\mathcal{P}$, and
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_0(a))>\rho(a)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_1(a))>\rho(a)$, $a\in\mathcal{H}$.

Since the map $a\mapsto a\otimes 1_{2n+1}:Q\to Q\otimes \mathrm{M}_{2n+1}\cong Q$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to the identity map, without loss of generality, one may assume that $\phi_0=\phi_0'\otimes 1_{2n+1}$ and $\phi_1=\phi_1'\otimes 1_{2n+1}$ for $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\phi_0',\phi_1':A\to Q$ satisfying

(1) $[\phi_0(p)]_0=[\phi_1(p)]_0$, $p\in\mathcal{P}$, and
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_0(a))>\rho(a)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\phi_1(a))>\rho(a)$, $a\in\mathcal{H}$.

Then, with Corollary 5.6, a standard argument shows that the desired path exists. □

6. Trace factorization for C*-algebras with trivial K_0-groups

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $A\in\mathcal{R}$ *be a simple separable stably finite nuclear C*-algebra satisfying the UCT. Assume that* A *has stable rank one and that* $\mathrm{K}_0(A)=\{0\}$*. Then, for any finite set* $\mathcal{F}\subseteq A$ *and any* $\varepsilon>0$*, there exist a Razak algebra* S*, an* $\mathcal{F}$*-*ε*-multiplicative map* $\theta:A\to S$*, and an embedding* $\iota:S\to A$ *such that*

$$|\tau(a)-\tau(\iota\circ\theta(a))|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F},\ \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\|=1.$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $A\cong A\otimes Q$.

Since A is simple, any tracial state of A is faithful. Since the cone T^+A has a compact base, one has

$$\inf\{\tau(a):\tau\in\mathrm{T}^+(A),\|\tau\|=1\}>0,\quad a\in A^+\setminus\{0\}.$$

Therefore, one can define the map

$$L:A^+\setminus\{0\}\ni a\mapsto\inf\{\tau(a):\tau\in\mathrm{T}^+A,\|\tau\|=1\}\in(0,\infty). \tag{6.1}$$

Applying Corollary 5.7 to A and $L/4$ with respect to $(\mathcal{F},\varepsilon)$, one obtains $\mathcal{G}\subset A$, $\mathcal{H}\subset A^+$, and $\delta>0$ satisfying the conditions of Corollary 5.6. (Since $\mathrm{K}_0(A)=\{0\}$ and A is stably finite, one has $\mathrm{Proj}_\infty(A)\cap\mathrm{K}_0(A)=\{0\}$, and hence $\mathcal{P}=\{0\}$.)

Choose a model algebra $S=\varinjlim(S_n,\iota_n)$ such that each S_n is a Q-stablized Razak algebra, and

$$\mathrm{K}_0(S)=\{0\}\quad\text{and}\quad(\mathrm{T}^+S,\|\cdot\|)\cong(\mathrm{T}^+A,\|\cdot\|).$$

Denote by $\gamma:\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}A\to\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}S$ the induced isomorphism.

By Lemma 4.6, there is positive continuous affine map $\gamma_n:\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+A\to\mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S_n$ for a sufficiently large n such that

$$|\gamma_n^*\circ\iota_{n,\infty}^*(\tau)(a)-\gamma^*(\tau)(a)|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{H},\ \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\|=1 \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$1-\varepsilon' < \|\gamma_n^*(\tau)\| < 1+\varepsilon, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+S_n,\ \|\tau\|=1. \tag{6.3}$$

Write

$$S_n = \{(e,f) \in E \oplus \mathrm{C}([0,1],F) : f(0)=\phi_0(e),\ f(1)=\phi_1(e)\},$$

where $E=\bigoplus_{i=1}^p Q$ and $F=\bigoplus_{i=1}^l Q$ for some $p,l\in\mathbb{N}$, and $\phi_0,\phi_1 : E\to F$ are homomorphisms. The maps ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 might not be unital, but by Condition 2, one may assume that

$$\tau(\phi_0(1_E)) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(\phi_1(1_E)) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}_1(F). \tag{6.4}$$

For ith direct summand Q of E, consider its canonical tracial state tr_i and consider the trace

$$\gamma_n^*(\mathrm{tr}\circ\pi_{\infty,i}) \in \mathrm{T}(A).$$

It follows from (6.3) that

$$1-\varepsilon < \|\gamma_n^*(\mathrm{tr}\circ\pi_{\infty,i})\| < 1+\varepsilon.$$

Take a partition

$$0=t_0<t_1<t_2<\cdots<t_s<t_{s+1}=1$$

such that

$$|\gamma_n(a)(\mathrm{tr}_{j,t_k}) - \gamma_n(a)(\mathrm{tr}_{j,t})| < \varepsilon, \quad a\in\mathcal{F},\ t\in[t_k,t_{k+1}],\ 1\le j\le l,\ 0\le k\le s.$$

Since A has the UCT, by [TWW], there are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\psi_{\infty,i} : A\to Q$, $i=1,2,...,p$, such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}\circ\psi_{\infty,i}(a) - (\gamma_n)_*(\mathrm{tr}_i\circ\pi_{\infty,i})(a)| < \frac{1}{2}\min\{\varepsilon, L(h) : h\in\mathcal{H}\}, \quad a\in\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{H}, \tag{6.5}$$

and there are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\psi_{j,t_k} : A\to Q$, $j=1,2,...,l$, $k=1,2,...,s$, such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}\circ\psi_{j,t_k}(a) - (\gamma_n)_*(\mathrm{tr}\circ\pi_{j,t_k})(a)| < \frac{1}{2}\min\{\varepsilon, L(h) : h\in\mathcal{H}\}, \quad a\in\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{H}. \tag{6.6}$$

Set

$$\psi_\infty := \bigoplus_{i=1}^p \psi_{\infty,i} : A\to E.$$

Note that, by (6.5), (6.6), (6.3), and the construction of L ((6.1)), one has

$$\mathrm{tr}(\psi_{\infty,i})(a) > \frac{1}{2}L(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(\psi_{j,t_k})(a) > \frac{1}{2}L(a), \quad a\in\mathcal{H}, \tag{6.7}$$

where $i=1,2,...,p$, $j=1,2,...,l$, and $k=1,2,...,s$.

For each $j=1,2,...,l$, consider the maps

$$\psi_{j,t_0} = \pi_j\circ\phi_0\circ\psi_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{j,t_{s+1}} = \pi_j\circ\phi_1\circ\psi_\infty.$$

Note that, by (6.4) and (6.7), one has

$$\mathrm{tr}(\psi_{j,t_0})(a) > \frac{1}{4}L(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(\psi_{j,t_{s+1}})(a) > \frac{1}{4}L(a), \quad a\in\mathcal{H}.$$

Compare ψ_{j,t_k} with $\psi_{j,t_{k+1}}$ for each $k = 0, 1, ..., s$. By Corollary 5.7, exists a path $(\psi_{j,t_k})_t$, $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ such that $(\psi_{j,t_k})_t : A \to Q$ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative for each $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$, and

$$|\mathrm{tr}((\psi_{j,t_k})_t(a) - \psi_{j,t_k}(a))| < 3\varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},\ t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}].$$

Denote by $\theta : A \to S_n$ the induced map. It is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative and satisfies

$$|\tau(\Psi(a)) - \gamma_n(a)(\tau)| < 4\varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+S_n,\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{6.8}$$

Note that A and S are stably projectionless and $\mathrm{T}^+A \cong \mathrm{T}^+S$. By Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 6.8 of [ERS11], it follows that the Cuntz semigroup of A and the Cuntz semigroup of S are isomorphic. Applied to the canonical map $\mathrm{Cu}(S_n) \to \mathrm{Cu}(S) \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A)$, Theorem 1 of [Rob12] implies that there is a homomorphism $\iota : S_n \to A$ giving rise to this map, and in particular such that

$$\iota_* = \gamma^{-1} \circ (\iota_{n,\infty})_* \quad \text{on } \mathrm{Aff}\mathrm{T}^+S_n. \tag{6.9}$$

Since the ideal of $\mathrm{Cu}(S_n)$ killed by the map $\mathrm{Cu}(S_n) \to \mathrm{Cu}(S) \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A)$ is zero, as the map $S_n \to S$ is an embedding, it follows that the map $S_n \to A$ is also an embedding. By (6.8), (6.9), and (6.2), one then has

$$\|\iota_* \circ \theta_*(\hat{f}) - \hat{f}\|_\infty < 6\varepsilon, \quad f \in \mathcal{F},$$

and this proves the theorem in the case that $A \cong A \otimes Q$.

In the general case, consider the embedding $A \to A \otimes Q$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_A$, and note that it induced an isometric isomorphism from T^+A to $\mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes Q)$. Then, for any $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, and any ε, the prove above provides a sub-C*-algebras S and a factorization

$$A \longrightarrow A \otimes Q \xrightarrow{\theta} S \xrightarrow{\iota} A \otimes Q$$

such that

$$|\tau(a) - \tau(\iota \circ \theta(a \otimes 1_Q))| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes Q),\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Since A and $A \otimes Q$ are stably projectionless, one has that $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes Q) \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A)$, and denote this isomorphism by ξ. Then, by Theorem 1 of [Rob12], there is an embedding $\iota' : S \to A$ such that $[\iota'] = \xi \circ [\iota]$ on $\mathrm{Cu}(S)$, and hence

$$|\tau(a) - \tau(\iota' \circ \theta(a \otimes 1_Q))| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A),\ \|\tau\| = 1,$$

as desired. □

7. Tracial Approximations

Lemma 7.1 ([CE08] or Theorem 6 of [Rob12])**.** *Consider $I = \mathrm{C}_0((0, 1])$. For any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset I^+$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite set $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{M}_\infty(I)$ such that for any C*-algebra A with stable rank one and any homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : I \to A$, if*

$$[\phi(g')] \leq [\psi(g)] \quad \text{and} \quad [\psi(g')] \leq [\phi(g)], \quad g', g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ with } g' \ll g,$$

then there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|u^*\phi(a)u - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let A be a simple C*-algebra (with or without unit) with stable rank one such that $A \in \mathcal{R}$, and assume that A has strict comparison.*

Let F be a finite dimensional C-algebra, and let*

$$\phi : F \to A,$$

$$\phi_i : F \to A, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

be order zero maps such that for each $c \in F_+$ and $f \in \mathrm{C}_0^+((0,1])$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma A} |\tau(f(\phi(c)) - f(\phi_i(c)))| = 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \|f(\phi_i(c))\| \leq \|f(\phi(c))\|.$$

Then, there are contractions

$$s_i \in \mathrm{M}_4 \otimes A, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_i(1_4 \otimes \phi(c)) - (e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_i(c))s_i\| = 0, \quad c \in F^+$$

and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|(e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_i(c))s_i s_i^* - e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_i(c)\| = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as Proposition 2.1 of [Win16] (the argument does not require the C*-algebra to be unital; the strict comparison is sufficient for the argument to proceed). □

Lemma 7.3. *Let A be a separable C*-algebra with nuclear dimension at most m. Let (e_n) be an approximate unit for A. Then there is a system of $(m+1)$-decomposable c.p. approximations*

$$\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_j} F_j^{(0)} \oplus F_j^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_j^{(m)} \oplus \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_j} \tilde{A}, \quad j = 1, 2, ...$$

such that

$$\tilde{\phi}_j(F_j^{(l)}) \subset A, \quad l = 0, 1, ..., m, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_j|_{\mathbb{C}}(1_{\mathbb{C}}) = 1_{\tilde{A}} - e_{n_j}, \quad \text{for some } e_{n_j} \text{ in the approximate unit } (e_n), \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|a\tilde{\phi}_j^{(l)}\tilde{\psi}_j^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}) - \tilde{\phi}_j^{(l)}\tilde{\psi}_j^{(l)}(a)\| = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, ..., m+1, \ a \in \tilde{A}, \tag{7.3}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_j^{(l)}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_j^{(l)}$ are the projection of $\tilde{\phi}_j$ to $F_j^{(l)}$ and the restriction of $\tilde{\psi}_j$ to $F_j^{(l)}$, respectively.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ be a finite set of positive elements with norm one, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Write

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(a, \lambda_a) : a \in \mathcal{F}_A \subset A^+\} \subset A \oplus \mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{A}}.$$

By considering a strictly positive element of A, there is e in the approximate unit (e_n) of A such that for any $a \in \mathcal{F}$, there is $a' \in A$ satisfying

$$\|a - a'\| < \varepsilon, \quad a'e = ea', \quad \text{and} \quad (a' - \pi(a'))(1 - e) = 0,$$

where $\pi : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the canonical quotient map. Denote by $\mathcal{F}'$ the set of such a'.

Consider the elements

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}}a'e^{\frac{1}{2}} \in A, \quad a' \in \mathcal{F}'.$$

Then choose a factorization

$$A \xrightarrow{\psi} F^{(0)} \oplus F^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\phi} A$$

such that

(1) $\|\phi(\psi(e^{\frac{1}{2}}a'e^{\frac{1}{2}})) - e^{\frac{1}{2}}a'e^{\frac{1}{2}}\| < \varepsilon$, $a' \in \mathcal{F}'$, and

(2) the restriction of ϕ to each direct summand $F^{(l)}$, $l = 0, 1, ..., m$, is order zero.

Then, define maps

$$\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{A} \ni a \mapsto \psi(e^{\frac{1}{2}}ae^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus \pi(a) \in (F^{(0)} \oplus F^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{(m)}) \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi} : (F^{(0)} \oplus F^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{(m)}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \ni (a, \lambda) \mapsto \phi(a) + \lambda(1 - e).$$

Then, for any $a \in \mathcal{F}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\psi}(a)) - a\| &< \|\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\psi}(a')) - a'\| + 3\varepsilon \\ &= \|\phi(\psi(e^{\frac{1}{2}}a'e^{\frac{1}{2}})) + \pi(a')(1 - e) - a'\| + 3\varepsilon \\ &< \|e^{\frac{1}{2}}a'e^{\frac{1}{2}} + \pi(a')(1 - e) - a'\| + 4\varepsilon = 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the restriction of $\tilde{\phi}$ to each simple summand of $F^{(0)} \oplus F^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{(m)} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ has order zero.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ and ε are arbitrary, one obtains the $(m+1)$-decomposable c.p. approximations $(\tilde{\psi}_j, \tilde{\phi}_j)$, $j = 1, 2, ...$, which satisfy (7.1) and (7.2) of the Lemma.

In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 4.2 of [Win12], $\tilde{\psi}_j$ and $\tilde{\phi}_j$ can be modified to satisfy (7.3). Indeed, consider

$$\hat{\psi}_j : \tilde{A} \ni a \mapsto \tilde{\psi}_j(1_{\tilde{A}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\psi}_j(a)\tilde{\psi}_j(1_{\tilde{A}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in (F_j^{(0)} \oplus F_j^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_j^{(m)}) \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

where the inverse is taking in the hereditary sub-C*-algebra generated by $\tilde{\psi}(1_{\tilde{A}})$, and

$$\hat{\phi}_j : (F_j^{(0)} \oplus F_j^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_j^{(m)}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \ni a \mapsto \phi(\tilde{\psi}_j(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}}a\tilde{\psi}_j(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \in \tilde{A}.$$

Then the proof of Proposition 4.2 of [Win12] shows that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\hat{\phi}_j^{(l)}\hat{\psi}_j^{(l)}(a) - \hat{\phi}_j^{(l)}\hat{\psi}_j^{(l)}(a)\hat{\phi}_j^{(l)}\hat{\psi}_j^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}})\| = 0, \quad a \in A.$$

Note that $\pi(1_{\tilde{A}}) = 1_{\mathbb{C}}$. One has that $\pi(1_{\tilde{A}})\mathbb{C}\pi(1_{\tilde{A}}) = \mathbb{C}$, and the restriction of $\hat{\phi}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is the map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda(1 - e)$. It follows that the decompositions $(\hat{\psi}_j, \hat{\phi}_j)$ satisfies the lemma. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of nuclear C*-algebra.*

Let A be a C-algebra with nuclear dimension at most m such that $A \in \mathcal{R}$, A has stable rank one, and A has strict comparison of positive elements.*

Assume that for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a C-algebra $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and maps*

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma} S \xrightarrow{\rho} A$$

such that σ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative, ρ is an embedding, such that

$$|\tau(\rho(\sigma(a))) - \tau(a)| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Then, the C-algebra A has the following property: For any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a projection $p \in \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})$, a sub-C*-algebra $S \subset (1-p)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A)(1-p)$ with $S \in \mathcal{S}$, such that*

(1) $\|[p, 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $p(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $p \sim e_{11}$ *in* $\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})$, *and*
(4) $(1-p)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A)(1-p) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 of [Win16]. Let (e_n) be an approximate unit of A. Since $A \in \mathcal{R}$, by Lemma 2.4 one may assume that $\mathrm{sp}(e_n) = [0,1]$. Since $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A) \le m$, by Lemma 7.3, there is a system of $(m+1)$-decomposable c.p. approximations

$$\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\psi_j} F_j^{(0)} \oplus F_j^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_j^{(m)} \oplus \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\phi_j} \tilde{A}, \quad j = 1, 2, ...$$

such that

$$\phi_j(F_j^{(l)}) \subset A, \quad l = 0, 1, ..., m \tag{7.4}$$

and

$$\phi_j|_{\mathbb{C}}(1_{\mathbb{C}}) = 1_{\tilde{A}} - e_j, \tag{7.5}$$

where e_j is an element of (e_n).

Denote by

$$\phi_j^{(l)} = \phi_j|_{F_j^{(l)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_j^{(m+1)}(\lambda) = \phi_j|_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad l = 0, 1, ..., m.$$

By Lemma 7.3, one may assume that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|a\phi_j^{(l)}\psi_j^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}) - \phi_j^{(l)}\psi_j^{(l)}(a)\| = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, ..., m+1,\ a \in A. \tag{7.6}$$

Then, by the weak stability of order zero maps (Proposition 1.4 of [WZ10]), for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there are order zero maps

$$\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)} : F_j^{(l)} \to S_i \subset A, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},\ l = 0, 1, ..., m,$$

such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c) - \sigma_i(\phi_j^{(l)}(c))\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(l)};$$

and (order zero) positive linear map

$$\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(m+1)} : \mathbb{C} \ni 1 \mapsto 1_{\tilde{A}} - \sigma_i(e_j) \in \tilde{S}_i = \mathrm{C}^*\{S_i, 1_{\tilde{A}}\} \subset \tilde{A}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that

$$\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(\lambda) = \sigma_i(\phi_j^{(m+1)}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in F_j^{(m+1)} = \mathbb{C}, \tag{7.7}$$

where one still uses σ_i to denote the induced map $\tilde{A} \to \tilde{S}_i$

Note that for each $l = 0, 1, ..., m$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|f(\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c)) - \sigma_i(f(\phi_j^{(l)}(c)))\| = 0, \quad c \in (F_j^{(l)})^+, \ f \in \mathrm{C}_0^+((0,1]),$$

and hence

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma A} |\tau(f(\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c)) - f(\phi_j^{(l)}(c)))| = 0, \quad c \in (F_j^{(l)})^+, \ f \in \mathrm{C}_0^+((0,1]).$$

Also note that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \|f(\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c)\| \le \|f(\phi_j^{(l)})(c)\|, \quad c \in (F_j^{(l)})^+, \ f \in \mathrm{C}_0^+((0,1]).$$

Applying Lemma 7.2 to $(\tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\phi_j^{(l)}$ for each $l = 0, 1, ..., m$, there are contractions

$$s_{j,i}^{(l)} \in \mathrm{M}_4(A) \subset \mathrm{M}_4(\tilde{A}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \phi_j^{(l)}(c)) - (e_{1,1} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c))s_{j,i}^{(l)}\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(l)}$$

and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|(e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_j^{(l)}(c))s_{j,i}^{(l)}(s_{j,i}^{(l)})^* - e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_j^{(l)}(c)\| = 0.$$

For $l = m + 1$, since $\mathrm{sp}(e_j) = [0, 1]$, by Lemma 7.1, there are unitaries

$$s_{j,i}^{(m+1)} \in \tilde{A}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(m+1)} e_j - \sigma_i(e_j)s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}\| = 0,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(1_{\tilde{A}} - e_j) - (1_{\tilde{A}} - \sigma_i(e_j))s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}\| = 0.$$

By (7.5) and (7.7), one has

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}\phi_j^{(m+1)}(c) - \tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(c)s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(m+1)} = \mathbb{C}.$$

Consider $e_{1,1} \otimes s_{j,i}^{(m+1)} \in \mathrm{M}_4 \otimes \tilde{A}$, and still denote it by $s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}$, one has

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(1_4 \otimes \phi_j^{(m+1)}(c)) - (e_{1,1} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(c))s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(l)}$$

and

$$(e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_j^{(m+1)}(c))s_{j,i}^{(m+1)}(s_{j,i}^{(m+1)})^* = e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_j^{(m+1)}(c).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_{j,i}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \phi_j^{(l)}(c)) - (e_{1,1} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_{j,i}^{(l)}(c))s_{j,i}^{(l)}\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(l)}, \ l = 0, 1, ..., m + 1. \tag{7.8}$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|(e_{1,1} \otimes \phi_i(c))s_{j,i}^{(l)}(s_{j,i}^{(l)})^* - \phi_i(c)\| = 0, \quad c \in F_j^{(l)}, \ l = 0, 1, ..., m + 1. \tag{7.9}$$

Let $\tilde{\sigma}_i : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{S}_i$ and $\tilde{\rho}_i : \tilde{S}_i \to \tilde{A}$ denote the unital maps induced by $\sigma_i : A \to S_i$ and $\rho_i : S_i \to A$, respectively.

Consider the contractions

$$s_j^{(l)} := (s_{j,i}^{(l)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in (\mathrm{M}_4 \otimes \tilde{A})_\infty, \quad l = 0, 1, ..., m+1.$$

By (7.8) and (7.9), they satisfy

$$s_j^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \bar{\iota}(\phi_j^{(l)}(c))) = (e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}(\phi_j^{(l)}(c)))s_j^{(l)}$$

and

$$(e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\rho}(\phi_j^{(l)}(c)))s_j^{(l)}(s_j^{(l)})^* = (e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\rho}(\phi_j^{(l)}(c))),$$

where

$$\bar{\rho} : \prod \tilde{S}_i / \bigoplus \tilde{S}_i \to \tilde{A}_\infty$$

is the homomorphism induced by $\tilde{\rho}_i$, $\iota : \tilde{A} \to (\tilde{A})_\infty$ is the canonical embedding, $\tilde{S}_i = \mathrm{C}^*\{S_i, 1_{\tilde{A}}\}$, and

$$\bar{\iota} : (\tilde{A})_\infty \to ((\tilde{A})_\infty)_\infty$$

is the embedding induced by the canonical embedding $\iota : \tilde{A} \to (\tilde{A})_\infty$.

Let

$$\bar{\gamma} : \tilde{A}_\infty \to ((\tilde{A})_\infty)_\infty$$

be the homomorphism induced by

$$\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma} : \tilde{A} \to (\tilde{A})_\infty,$$

For each $l = 0, 1, ..., m+1$, let

$$\bar{\phi}^{(l)} : \prod_j F_j^{(l)} / \bigoplus_j F_j^{(l)} \to A_\infty$$

and

$$\bar{\psi}^{(l)} : A \to \prod_j F_j^{(l)} / \bigoplus_j F_j^{(l)}$$

denote the maps induced by $\phi_j^{(l)}$ and $\psi_j^{(l)}$.

Define a contraction

$$\bar{s}^{(l)} = (s_j^{(l)}) \in (\mathrm{M}_4 \otimes \tilde{A}_\infty)_\infty,$$

and then

$$s^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \bar{\iota}\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(a)) = (e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\gamma}\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(a))s^{(l)}, \quad a \in \tilde{A},$$

and

$$(e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\gamma}\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(a))s_j^{(l)}(s_j^{(l)})^* = (e_{1,1} \otimes \bar{\gamma}\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(a)).$$

By (7.6), one has

$$\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_A)\iota(a) = \bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(a), \quad a \in A.$$

In particular,

$$((\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_A))^{\frac{1}{2}}\iota(a) \in \mathrm{C}^*\{\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(A)\},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes(\bar{\iota}\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}})(1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\iota(a)) &= \bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}(\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\iota(a))\\ &= (e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\iota(a))\bar{s}^{(l)}\\ &= (e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\iota(a)\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}})\bar{s}^{(l)}\\ &= (e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\iota(a)))(e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}})\bar{s}^{(l)}. \qquad (7.10)\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{v} &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,l}\otimes((e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\bar{\phi}^l\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{s}^{(l)})\\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,l}\otimes(\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\bar{\phi}^l\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))^{\frac{1}{2}})\in \mathrm{M}_{m+2}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})\otimes(A_\infty)_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\bar{v}\bar{v}^* = \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,1}\otimes(e_{1,1}\otimes(\bar{\gamma}\bar{\phi}^l\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}}))) = e_{1,1}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(1_{\tilde{A}}).$$

Thus, $\bar{v}$ is an partial isometry.

Moreover, for any $a\in\tilde{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{v}(1_{(m+2)}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\iota(a)) &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,l}\otimes(\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\bar{\phi}^l\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}})(1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\iota(a)))\\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,l}\otimes(e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\iota(a)))(e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{s}^{(l)}) \qquad \text{(by (7.10))}\\ &= (1_{m+2}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\iota(a)))\sum_{l=0}^{m+1} e_{1,l}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\bar{\phi}^{(l)}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(1_{\tilde{A}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{s}^{(l)})\\ &= (1_{m+2}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\iota(a)))\bar{v},\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\bar{v}^*\bar{v}(1_{m+2}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\iota(a)) = \bar{v}^*(1_{m+2}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\iota(a))\bar{v} = (1_{m+2}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\iota}\iota(a))\bar{v}^*\bar{v},\quad a\in\tilde{A}.$$

Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\tilde{A}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there are $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$ and $v\in\mathrm{M}_{m+2}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})\otimes\tilde{A}$ such that

(1) $vv^* = e_{1,1}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\tilde{\rho}_i(1_{\tilde{S}_i}) = e_{1,1}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes 1_{\tilde{A}}$,
(2) $\|[v^*v, 1_{m+2}\otimes a]\|<\varepsilon$, $a\in\mathcal{F}$,
(3) $\|v^*v(1_{m+2}\otimes a)-(e_{1,1}\otimes\tilde{\rho}_i\tilde{\sigma}_i(a))v\|<\varepsilon$, $a\in\mathcal{F}$.

Define

$$\kappa:\tilde{S}_i\to\mathrm{M}_{m+2}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})\otimes\tilde{A}$$

by

$$\kappa(s) = v^*(e_{1,1}\otimes e_{1,1}\otimes\tilde{\rho}_i(s))v.$$

Note that

$$\kappa(S_i) \subset \mathrm{M}_{m+2}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A.$$

Then κ is an embedding; and on setting $p = 1_{\kappa(\tilde{S}_i)} = v^*v$, one has

(1) $p \sim e_{1,1} \otimes e_{1,1} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}}$,
(2) $\|[p, 1_{m+2} \otimes 1_4 \otimes a]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $p(1_{m+2} \otimes 1_4 \otimes a)p \in_\varepsilon \kappa(\tilde{S}_i)$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Since $p \sim e_{1,1} \otimes e_{1,1} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}}$, one has that $(1-p)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A)(1-p) \cong \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)-1}(A)$, and hence is still in the reduction class $\mathcal{R}$, as desired. □

Lemma 7.5. *Let A be a simple C*-algebra satisfying the UCT. Assume $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A) = m < \infty$, $\mathrm{K}_0(A) = \{0\}$, and $A \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a projection p in $\mathrm{M}_d(\tilde{A})$, and a sub-C*-algebra $S \subset p\mathrm{M}_d(A)p$ with $S \in \mathcal{R}_z$, such that*

(1) $\|[p, 1_d \otimes a]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $p(1_d \otimes a)p \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$, *and*
(3) $1 - p$ *is Murray von Neumann equivalent to a projection of* $\mathrm{M}_d(\mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{A}})$ *with (normalized) trace at most* ε.

Proof. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\|a\| \leq 1$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\frac{4(m+2)-1}{4(m+2)})^N < \varepsilon.$$

Set $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{8N}$.

By Theorem 6.1, the C*-algebra A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.4, and hence there is a projection $p_1 \in \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})$ and a sub-C*-algebra $S_1 \in p\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})p$ with $S_1 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that

(1) $\|[p_1, 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a]\| < \varepsilon'$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $p_1(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p_1 \in_{\varepsilon'} S_1$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $p_1 \sim e_{11}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})$, and
(4) $(1-p_1)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A)(1-p_1) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Consider the sub-C*-algebra $A_1 := (1-p_1)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A)(1-p_1)$. Its nuclear dimension is bounded by m and it also satisfies the UCT. Thus, by Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.4, there is a projection $p_2 \in \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A}_1) \subset \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}((1-p_1)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})(1-p_1))$ and a sub-C*-algebra $S_2 \in p_2\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})p_2$ with $S_2 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that

(1) $\|[p_2, 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a]\| < \varepsilon'$, $a \in (1-p_1)(\mathrm{M}_{4(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F})(1-p_1)$,
(2) $p_2(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p_2 \in_{\varepsilon'} S_2$, $a \in (1-p_1)(\mathrm{M}_{4(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F})(1-p_1)$,
(3) $p_2 \sim e_{11}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A}_1) \subset \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}((1-p_1)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\tilde{A})(1-p_1))$, and
(4) $(1-p_2)\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(A_1)(1-p_2) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Note that $p_1 \perp p_2$ as projections of $\mathrm{M}_{4(n+2)} \otimes \mathrm{M}_{4(n+2)} \otimes \tilde{A}$ (where p_1 is regarded as $1_{4(m+2)} \otimes p_1$), and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a \\ \approx_{2\varepsilon'} \ & p_1(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p_1 + (1-p_1)(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)(1-p_1) \\ \approx_{2\varepsilon'} \ & p_1(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p_1 + p_2((1-p_1)(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)(1-p_1))p_2 \\ & +(1-p_2)((1-p_1)(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)(1-p_1))(1-p_2), \end{aligned}$$

and for any $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$p_1(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)p_1 \in_{\varepsilon'} S_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_2((1-p_1)(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)(1-p_1))p_2 \in_{\varepsilon'} S_2.$$

Therefore,

(1) $\|[p_1+p_2, 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a]\| < 8\varepsilon'$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $(p_1+p_2)(1_{4(m+2)} \otimes 1_{4(m+2)} \otimes a)(p_1+p_2) \in_{4\varepsilon'} S_1 \oplus S_2$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Also note that p_1+p_2 is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection of $\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{A}}))$ with rank $4(m+2)+4(m+2)-1$, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{rank}(1-(p_1+p_2))}{(4(m+2))^2} = (\frac{4(m+2)-1}{4(m+2)})^2.$$

Then, repeating this procedure N times, one obtains pairwise orthogonal projections

$$p_1, p_2, ..., p_N \in \underbrace{\mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}}_{N}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \tilde{A},$$

and sub-C*-algebras $S_i \in p_i((\bigotimes_N \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \tilde{A})p_i$ such that each p_i is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection of $\bigotimes_N \mathrm{M}_{4(m+2)}(\mathbb{C}1_{\tilde{A}})$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{rank}(1-(p_1+\cdots+p_N))}{(4(m+2))^N} = (\frac{4(m+2)-1}{4(m+2)})^N < \varepsilon,$$

and

(1) $\|[p_1+\cdots+p_N, 1_{(4(m+2))^N} \otimes a]\| < 8(N-1)\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $(p_1+\cdots+p_N)(1_{(4(m+2))^N} \otimes a)(p_1+\cdots+p_N) \in_{4(N-1)\varepsilon'} S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_N$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thus, one obtains the desired decomposition with $d = (4(m+2))^N$, $p = p_1+\cdots+p_N$, and $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_N$. □

The following statement is a straightforward corollary of Lemma 7.5.

Corollary 7.6. *Let A be a simple C*-algebra satisfying the UCT. Assume that $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A) = m < \infty$, $\mathrm{K}_0(A) = \{0\}$, and $A \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a projection $p \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ and a sub-C*-algebra $S \subset p(A \otimes Q)p$ with $S \in \mathcal{R}_z$ such that*

(1) $\|[p, a \otimes 1_Q]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $p(a \otimes 1_Q)p \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $1-p$ *is Murray von Neumann equivalent to a projection of* $1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes Q$ *with trace at most* ε.

One then has the following (tracial) divisibility property.

Theorem 7.7. *Let A be a simple C*-algebra satisfying the UCT. Assume that $\dim_{\rm nuc}(A) = m < \infty$, $\mathrm{K}_0(A) = \{0\}$, and $A \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are projections $q, p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$, $S \subset p_1(A \otimes Q)p_1$, $S \in \mathcal{R}_z$ such that*

(1) *$q + p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = 1_{\tilde{A}\otimes Q}$, q is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to p_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,*
(2) *$\|[p_i, a \otimes 1_Q]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,*
(3) *$p_i a p_i \in_\varepsilon v_i S v_i^*$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where $v_i \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, are the partial isometries satisfying $v_i^* v_i = p_1$ and $v_i v_i^* = p_i$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$, and n be given. It follows from Corollary 7.6 that there exist a projection $p \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ and a sub-C*-algebra $S' \subset p(A \otimes Q)p$ with $S \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that

(1) $\|[p, a \otimes 1_Q]\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $p(a \otimes 1_Q)p \in_\varepsilon S'$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $1 - p$ is Murray von Neumann equivalent to a projection of $1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes Q$ with trace r and $r < \frac{1}{n}$.

Choose natural numbers l and m such that m and l are divided by n and

$$\frac{l}{m} = (\frac{1}{n+1} - r) \cdot \frac{1}{1-r}. \tag{7.11}$$

Then, consider $\tilde{A} \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m$, and consider

$$q := (1 - p) \otimes 1_m + p \otimes e_{1,1} + p \otimes e_{2,2} + \cdots + p \otimes e_{l,l}.$$

Then q is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection of $1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m$ with trace

$$r + (1 - r)\frac{l}{m} = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad \text{by (7.11)}.$$

Since $m - l$ is divided by n, there are pairwise orthogonal projections $e_1, e_2, ..., e_n \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$e_i \perp (e_{1,1} + \cdots + e_{ll}), \quad \text{and} \quad e_i \sim e_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

Then put

$$p_i = p \otimes e_i \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

and

$$S = S' \otimes e_i \subset p_1(A \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m)p_1.$$

Note that there are partial isometries $v_i \in 1_{\tilde{A}\otimes Q} \otimes \mathrm{M}_m$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, satisfying $v_i^* v_i = p_1$ and $v_i v_i^* = p_i$. Also note that each p_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection of $1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m$ with trace $\frac{1}{n+1}$, and, therefore, p_i is murray-von Neumann equivalent to q.

Regard $\tilde{A} \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_m$ as a unital sub-C*-algebra of $\tilde{A} \otimes Q \otimes Q$, and identify $Q \otimes Q$ with Q. Then $q, p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$, $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$, and S satisfy the conclusion of the theorem. □

Remark 7.8. It follows from Theorem 7.7 that any element $a \in A$ can be approximated by

$$qaq + p_1 a p_1 + p_2 a p_2 + \cdots + p_n a p_n$$

as an diagonal element of $\mathrm{M}_{m+1}(qAq)$. This structure theorem will lead to a uniqueness theorem (Theorem 8.24), and then a classification of C*-algebras with trivial K-theory (Theorem 8.26).

Corollary 7.9. *Let A be a C*-algebra of Theorem 7.7. Assume that $A \cong A \otimes Q$. Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sub-C*-algebras $A_0, A_1 \subset A$, $S \subset A_1$, with $A_0 \perp A_1$ and $S \in \mathcal{R}_z$, and c.p. maps*

$$A \xrightarrow{\theta=\theta_0\oplus\theta_1} A_0 \oplus A_1 \xhookrightarrow{\iota} A$$

such that θ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative, ι is the embedding, and

(1) $\|\iota(\theta(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $\theta_1(a) \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) *there are $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n \in A$ such that*
   (a) $\|(v_i^* v_i)\theta_0(a) - \theta_0(a)\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
   (b) $v_i v_i^* \in A_1$ *and* $v_i v_i^* \perp v_j v_j^*$, $i \neq j$.

Motivated by this, one has the following notion of tracial approximation for a C*-algebra with or without unit.

Definition 7.10. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of C*-algebras. A C*-algebra A is said to be tracially approximated by C*-algebras in $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by $A \in \mathrm{TA}\mathcal{S}$, if any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sub-C*-algebras $A_0, A_1 \subset A$, $S \subset A_1$, with $A_0 \perp A_1$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and c.p. maps

$$A \xrightarrow{\theta=\theta_0\oplus\theta_1} A_0 \oplus A_1 \xhookrightarrow{\iota} A$$

such that θ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative, ι is the natural embedding, and

(1) $\|\iota(\theta(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $\theta_1(a) \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) there are $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n \in A$ such that
   (a) $\|(v_i^* v_i)\theta_0(a) - \theta_0(a)\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
   (b) $v_i v_i^* \in A_1$ and $v_i v_i^* \perp v_j v_j^*$, $i \neq j$.

Lemma 7.11. *Let A be a simple C*-algebra with strict comparison of positive elements, and assume that A has stable rank one. Then $A \in \mathrm{TA}\mathcal{S}$ if, and only if, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are sub-C*-algebras $A_0, A_1 \subset A$, $S \subset A_1$, with $A_0 \perp A_1$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and c.p. maps*

$$A \xrightarrow{\theta=\theta_0\oplus\theta_1} A_0 \oplus A_1 \xhookrightarrow{\iota} A$$

such that θ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative, ι is the embedding, and

(1) $\|\iota(\theta(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(2) $\theta_1(a) \in_\varepsilon S$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $\tau(b) < \varepsilon$, $b \in A_0$ *with* $\|b\| \leq 1$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)$, $\|\tau\| = 1$.

8. Classification of KK-contractible C*-algebras

The structure (Theorem 7.7) obtained in the previous section actually leads us to a classification of KK-contractible C*-algebras. One first need a stable uniqueness theorem with codomain algebra not necessary unital.

8.1. **A stable uniqueness theorem.** Let A, B be C*-algebras, and let $\gamma : A \to B$ be a homomorphism, and consider the homomorphism

$$\gamma_\infty := \mathrm{diag}\{\gamma, \gamma, ...\} : A \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K} \otimes B),$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the algebra of compact operates on a separable Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K} \otimes B)$ is the multiplier algebra.

Lemma 8.1 ([EK01])**.** *Assume that A is not unital, and assume that B is separable. The extension γ_∞ is absorbing, in the nuclear sense, if it is full, i.e., $\overline{B\gamma(a)B} = B$, $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 17 (iii) of [EK01], the extension γ_∞ is purely large. Note that A does not have a unit. Then it follows from Corollary 16 of [EK01] (see Theorem 2.1 [Gab16] for a correction) that γ_∞ is nuclear absorbing. □

Proposition 8.2. *Let A be a separable C*-algebra without a unit, and let B be a separable C*-algebra. Let $\gamma : A \to B$ be a full homomorphism, i.e., $\overline{B\gamma(a)B} = B$, $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$.*

Suppose that $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ are two nuclear homomorphisms with $[\phi] = [\psi]$ in $\mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A, B)$. Then for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an integer n and a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ satisfying

$$\|u^*(\phi(a) \oplus (\underbrace{\gamma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma(a)}_{n})u - \psi(a) \oplus (\underbrace{\gamma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma(a)}_{n})\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Since $[\phi] = [\psi]$ in $\mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A, B)$, there is a strict nuclear representation $\sigma : A \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K} \otimes B)$ such that $\phi \oplus \sigma \cong \psi \oplus \sigma$, where " $\cong$ " is the properly asymptotically unitary equivalence define in [DE01]. Hence $\phi \oplus \sigma \oplus \gamma_\infty \cong \psi \oplus \sigma \oplus \gamma_\infty$. Since γ_∞ is (non-unital) absorbing, one has $\sigma \oplus \gamma_\infty \sim \gamma_\infty$. It then follows from Lemma 4.3 of [DE02] that there is a sequence of unitaries $u_k \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B + \mathbb{C}1$ that

$$\|u_k^*(\phi(a) \oplus \gamma_\infty(a))u_k - \psi(a) \oplus \gamma_\infty(a)\| \to 0, \quad a \in A,\ k \to \infty.$$

Let $e_n = \mathrm{diag}\{\underbrace{1_{\tilde{B}}, 1_{\tilde{B}}, ..., 1_{\tilde{B}}}_{n}, 0, ...\}$. Since $u_k \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B + \mathbb{C}1$, one has that $[u_k, e_n] \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, for sufficiently large k, and then a sufficiently large n, the element $e_n u_k e_n \in \mathrm{M}_n(B) + \mathbb{C}1_n$ can be perturbed to a unitary u satisfying the conclusion of the proposition. □

In order to get a stable uniqueness theorem for approximate homomorphisms and with a control on the multiplicity n, we shall apply Proposition 8.2 to the case that B is an asymptotical sequence algebra, i.e., $B = \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ for some C*-algebras B_n. Such an asymptotical sequence algebra is not σ-unital if B_n are not unital. This brings a trouble for the picture of $\mathrm{KK}(A, B)$ in general. In the following, we shall show that in this situation (B is not σ-unital), there is a separable sub-C*-algebra $B' \subset B$ containing the images of A under ϕ, ψ, and γ, and B' is also large enough so that the map $\gamma : A \to B'$ is still full. Then one just works on the maps from A to B' instead.

Proposition 8.3 (Proposition 2.1 of [Bla78])**.** *Let A be a separable C*-algebra (with or without unit). Then there is a countable subset S of A such that if J is any ideal of A, then $S \cap J$ is dense in J.*

Lemma 8.4. *Let D be a C*-algebra. Let $A \subseteq D$ be separable sub-C*-algebra such that*

$$\overline{DaD} = D, \quad a \in A \setminus \{0\},$$

and let $B \subseteq D$ be another separable sub-C-algebra. Then, there is a separable sub-C*-algebra C of D such that*

$$A, B \subseteq C$$

and

$$\overline{CaC} = C, \quad a \in A \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 8.3, one obtains a countable set

$$\{a_0, a_1, a_2, ...\} \subset A$$

such that $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, ...\} \cap J$ is dense in J for any ideal J of A. We may assume that

$$a_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_j \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ... \ .$$

Set

$$C_1 = \mathrm{C}^*\{A, B\} \subseteq D.$$

It is clear that C_1 is separable. Pick a dense set $\{c_1, c_2, ...\}$ in C_1. Since $\overline{Da_jD} = D$, $j = 1, 2, ...$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any c_i, there are eventually zero sequences $x_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,1}, x_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,2}, ...$, and $y_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,1}, y_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,2}, ...$, in D such that and

$$\|c_i - (x_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,1} a_j y_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,1} + x_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,2} a_j y_{c_i,a_j,\varepsilon,2} + \cdots)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Set

$$C_2 = \mathrm{C}^*\{C_1, x_{c_i,a_j,\frac{1}{n},k}, y_{c_i,a_j,\frac{1}{n},k} : i, j, n, k = 1, 2, ...\},$$

and, then one has that

$$\overline{C_2 a_j C_2} \subseteq C_1, \quad j = 1, 2, ... \ .$$

Repeat the construction above, one obtains a sequence of separable C*-algebras

$$C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq D$$

such that

$$\overline{C_{n+1} a_j C_{n+1}} \subseteq C_n, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., \ n = 1, 2, ... \ .$$

Set $C = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n}$, and then one has

$$\overline{Ca_jC} = C, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., \ .$$

Then the separable sub-C*-algebra C satisfies the lemma. Indeed, let $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the ideal $J := \overline{CaC} \cap A$. Since $a \in J$, one has that $J \neq \{0\}$. By Proposition 8.3, one has that $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, ...\} \cap J$ is dense in J, and in particular, the ideal J contains some $a_j \neq 0$. Since $C = \overline{Ca_jC} \subseteq \overline{CJC} = \overline{CaC}$, one has that $\overline{CaC} = C$, as desired. □

Remark 8.5. If A is simple, then, in the proof above, one only needs to pick one non-zero element of A and does not need Proposition 8.3.

Let us point out the following corollary, although it is not used in this paper.

Corollary 8.6. *Let A, D be C*-algebra, and assume that A is separable. Let $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to D$ be homomorphisms such that*

(1) $[\phi] = [\psi]$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(A), \underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(D))$, *and*
(2) $\overline{D\sigma(a)D} = D$, $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$.

Then there is a separable sub-C-algebra $C \subset D$ such that*

(1) $\phi(A), \psi(A), \sigma(A) \subset C$,
(2) $[\phi] = [\psi]$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(A), \underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(C))$,
(3) $\overline{C\sigma(a)C} = C$.

Proof. Since A is separable (and hence all $\mathrm{K}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $* = 0, 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are countable), there is a separable sub-C*-algebra $B \subset D$ such that $\phi(A), \psi(A) \subset B$ and $[\phi] = [\psi]$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(A), \underline{\mathrm{K}}_*(B))$. The the existence of C follows directly from Lemma 8.4. □

Definition 8.7. Let $L : A^+ \times (0,1) \to \mathbb{N}$ and $N : A^+ \times (0,1) \to (0, +\infty)$ be maps.

A positive map $\phi : A \to B$ is said to be (L, N)-full if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $a \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}$, and any $b \in B^+$ with $\|b\| \leq 1$, there are $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{L(a,\varepsilon)}$ with $\|b_i\| \leq N(a, \varepsilon)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., L(a, \varepsilon)$, such that

$$\|b - (b_1\phi(a)b_1^* + b_2\phi(a)b_2^* + \cdots + b_{L(a,\varepsilon)}\phi(a)b_{L(a,\varepsilon)}^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Definition 8.8. A C*-algebra A is said to be KK-contractible if A is KK-equivalent to $\{0\}$, or equivalently, if $\mathrm{KK}(A, A) = \{0\}$.

Lemma 8.9. *If B is KK-contractible, then $A \otimes B$ is KK-contractible for any nuclear C*-algebra A.*

Proof. Since B is KK-contractible, one has $\mathrm{id}_B \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} 0_B$, and hence there is a continuous path (in the strict topology) of pairs (ϕ_t^+, ϕ_t^-), $t \in [0, 1]$, where

$$\phi_t^{\pm} : B \to \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

are homomorphisms such that

$$\phi_t^+(a) - \phi_t^-(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}, \quad t \in [0, 1],\ a \in B,$$

$$(\phi_0^+, \phi_0^-) = (\mathrm{id}_B, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_1^+, \phi_1^-) = (0, 0).$$

Fix a nuclear C*-algebra A. Consider

$$\Phi_t^{\pm}(a \otimes b) = a \otimes \phi_t^{\pm}(b) \in A \otimes \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}), \quad a \in A,\ b \in B,\ t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $\Phi_t^{\pm}(a \otimes b)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, are continuous paths (with the strict topology) in $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, and

$$\Phi_t^+(a \otimes b) - \Phi_t^-(a \otimes b) = a \otimes (\phi_t^+(b) - \phi_t^-(b)) \in A \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Moreover, $(\Phi_0^+, \Phi_0^-) = (\mathrm{id}_{A \otimes \mathcal{W}}, 0)$ and $(\Phi_1^+, \Phi_1^-) = (0, 0)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{id}_{A \otimes B} \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} 0$, and $A \otimes B$ is KK-contractible, as desired. □

Proposition 8.10. *Let A be a separable nuclear C*-algebra without unit which is KK-contracible. Let $L : A^+ \times (0,1) \to \mathbb{N}$ and $N : A^+ \times (0,1) \to (0,+\infty)$ be maps.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any homomorphisms $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to B$, where B is a C-algebra, and σ is L-N full, there is a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ such that*

$$\|u(\phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n})u^* - \psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Assume the statement were not true. There are $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that for each $n \in \mathrm{N}$, there is a C*-algebra B_n and homomorphisms $\phi_n, \psi_n, \sigma_n : A \to B_n$ such that

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{u \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B_n) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1})} \|u(\phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n})u^* - \psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n}\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Consider the maps Φ, Ψ, and $\Sigma : A \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ induced by (ϕ_n), (ψ_n), and (σ_n) respectively. Since σ_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$, are L-N full, the map Σ is full. Since A is separable, it follows from Lemma 8.4 that there is a separable C*-algebra $B \subset \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ such that

$$\Phi(A), \Psi(A), \Sigma(A) \subset B$$

and the map $\Sigma : A \to B \subset \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ is full.

Then it follows from Proposition 8.2 that there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a unitary

$$U \in \mathrm{M}_{k+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{k+1} \subset \mathrm{M}_{k+1}(\prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n) + \mathbb{C}1_{k+1}$$

such that

$$\|U(\Phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\Sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma(a)}_{k})U^* - \Psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\Sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma(a)}_{k}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By lifting U to a unitary $(u_n) \in \mathrm{M}_{k+1}(\prod B_n) + \mathbb{C}1_{k+1}$, one obtains a contradiction. □

Remark 8.11. If A is simple, the condition that σ is L-N-full can be replaced by $\sigma(a)$ is $L(a,\varepsilon)$-$N(a,\varepsilon)$-full for a previously fixed a.

Proposition 8.12. *Let A be a separable nuclear C*-algebra without unit which is KK-contractible. Let $L : A^+ \times (0,1) \to \mathbb{N}$ and $N : A^+ \times (0,1) \to (0,+\infty)$ be maps.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\mathcal{H} \subset A^+$, $\delta > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to B$ (where B is a C-algebra) satisfying*

$$\sigma(a) \text{ is } L(a,\varepsilon)\text{-}N(a,\varepsilon) \text{ full}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,\ a \in \mathcal{H},$$

there is a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ such that

$$\|u(\phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n})u^* - \psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Assume the statement were not true. There is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that for any n, any finite sets $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset A$, any constant δ, there is a C*-algebra D and $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps ϕ, ψ, σ satisfying

(1) $[\phi(p)] = [\psi(p)]$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$,
(2) $\sigma(a)$ is $L(a,\varepsilon)$-$N(a,\varepsilon)$-full for any $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

but

$$\max_{c\in\mathcal{F}} \|u(\phi(c) \oplus (\bigoplus^n \sigma(c)))u^* - \psi(c) \oplus (\bigoplus^n \sigma(c))\| \geq \varepsilon$$

for any unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B)$.

Chose sequences

(1) $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \cdots$ with $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{G}_k} = A$,
(2) $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \cdots$ with $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_k} = A^+$,
(3) $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \delta_k = 0$,

For any $a \in A^+$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $a' \in \bigcup \mathcal{H}_k$ with

$$\|a - a'\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L(a,\varepsilon)N^2(a,\varepsilon)},$$

and set new functions

$$\bar{L}(a,\varepsilon) = L(a',\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}(a,\varepsilon) = N(a',\varepsilon)$$

Applying Proposition 8.10 to $(\mathcal{F},\varepsilon)$ with respect to $\bar{L}(a,2\varepsilon)$ and $\bar{N}(a,2\varepsilon)$, one obtains n. Now, fix $(\mathcal{F},\varepsilon)$ and n. There are C*-algebras B_k and $\mathcal{G}_k$-δ_k-multiplicative maps $\phi_k, \psi_k, \sigma_k : A \to B_k$ satisfying

$$\sigma(a) \text{ is } L(a,\varepsilon)\text{-}N(a,\varepsilon)\text{-full for any } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and any } a \in \mathcal{H}_k,$$

but

$$\max_{c\in\mathcal{F}} \|u\mathrm{diag}\{\phi_k(c), \underbrace{\sigma_k(c), ..., \sigma_k(c)}_{n}\}u^* - \mathrm{diag}\{\psi_k(c), \underbrace{\sigma_k(c), ..., \sigma_k(c)}_{n}\}\| \geq \varepsilon \tag{8.1}$$

for any unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B_k) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$.

Consider the homomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma : A \to \prod B_k / \bigoplus B_k$ defined by $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \phi_k$, $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \psi_k$, and $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \sigma_k$, respectively.

Then Σ is $\bar{L}(a,2\varepsilon)$-$\bar{N}(a,2\varepsilon)$-full. Indeed, let $a \in \bigcup \mathcal{H}_k$, and assume that $a \in \mathcal{H}_1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any $b = (b_1, b_2, ...) \in \prod B_k$ with $\|b\| \leq 1$, since $\sigma_k(a), k = 1, 2, ...,$ are $L(a,\varepsilon)$-$N(a,\varepsilon)$-full, there are $x_{k,1}, x_{k,2}, ..., x_{k,L(a,\varepsilon)}$ with $\|x_{k,i}\| \leq N(a,\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|b_i - (x_{k,1}\sigma_k(a)x_{k,1}^* + \cdots + x_{k,L(a,\varepsilon)}\sigma_k(a)x_{k,L(a,\varepsilon)}^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Set $x_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, ...)$, Then

$$\|x_i\| < N(a,\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., L(a,\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\|b - (x_1\Sigma(a)x_1^* + \cdots + x_{L(a,\varepsilon)}\Sigma(a)x_{L(a,\varepsilon)}^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, let $a \in A = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{H}_k}$. Take the element $a' \in \bigcup \mathcal{H}_k$ with

$$\|a - a'\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L(a,\varepsilon)N^2(a,\varepsilon)}.$$

Since $a' \in \bigcup \mathcal{H}_k$, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{L(a',\varepsilon)}$ with $\|x_i\| \le N(a',\varepsilon) = \bar{N}(a,\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|b - (x_1\Sigma(a')x_1^* + \cdots + x_{L(a',\varepsilon)}\Sigma(a')x_{L(a',\varepsilon)}^*)\| < \varepsilon,$$

and hence (note that $\bar{L}(a,\varepsilon) = L(a',\varepsilon)$)

$$\|b - (x_1\Sigma(a)x_1^* + \cdots + x_{L(a,\varepsilon)}\Sigma(a')x_{\bar{L}(a,\varepsilon)}^*)\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Then, by Proposition 8.10, there is a unitary $U \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ such that

$$\|U(\Phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\Sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma(a)}_{n})U^* - \Psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\Sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma(a)}_{n}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By lifting U to a unitary $(u_n) \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(\prod B_k) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$, one obtains a contradiction. □

Remark 8.13. If A is simple, then the set $\mathcal{H}$ can be chosen to be a set consisting of a single point.

In the case that the algebra has strict comparison of positive elements, the fullness of an element can be controlled by traces (Lemma 8.15).

Lemma 8.14. *Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $z \in A$. Set $a = zz^*$ and $b = z^*z$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $u \in A$ such that $\|u^*au - b\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|u\| \le 1$.*

Proof. Consider the polar decomposition $z = v(z^*z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $v^*av = b$. Set $u = vh_{\varepsilon'}(z^*z) \in A$, where

$$h_{\varepsilon'}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \varepsilon' \le t \le 1, \\ \text{linear}, & \text{if } \frac{\varepsilon'}{2} \le t \le \varepsilon', \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}. \end{cases}$$

With ε' sufficiently small, the element u has the desired property. □

Lemma 8.15. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there are $L(\delta)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ satisfying the following: Let A be a C*-algebra such that all traces of A are bounded, and assume that A has strict comparison of positive elements. Let $a \in A^+$ with $\|a\| = 1$ satisfying*

$$\tau(a) > \delta, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A), \ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Then, for any $b \in A^+$ with $\|b\| = 1$, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{L(\delta)}$ such that

$$\|x_i\| \le N(\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., L(\delta)$$

and

$$\|b - (x_1ax_1^* + x_2ax_2^* + \cdots + x_{L(\delta)}ax_{L(\delta)}^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. For each δ, set

$$L(\delta) = \lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \rceil.$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$h_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \varepsilon \le t \le 1, \\ \text{linear}, & \text{if } \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \le t \le \varepsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and define

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{h_\varepsilon(t)}{t}, \quad t \in (0,1], \quad \text{and} \quad g_\varepsilon(0) = 0.$$

Then set

$$N(\varepsilon) = (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5})\sqrt{\|g_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}\|}.$$

Then $L(\delta)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ has the desired property.

Indeed, let A be a C*-algebra with strict comparison of positive elements. Let $a \in A^+$ with $\|a\| = 1$ satisfying

$$\tau(a) > \delta, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A), \ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

It is then clear that

$$\mathrm{d}_\tau([a]) > \delta, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A), \ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Let $b \in A^+$ with $\|b\| \le 1$. Then $\mathrm{d}_\tau([b]) < \lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \rceil \mathrm{d}_\tau([a])$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)$. Since A has strict comparison, one has

$$b \preceq \underbrace{a \oplus \cdots \oplus a}_{\lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \rceil = L(\delta)} = a^{\oplus L(\delta)}.$$

There is then an element $z \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ such that

$$(b - \frac{\varepsilon}{5})_+ = z^* z \quad \text{and} \quad zz^* \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(\overline{aAa}).$$

Pick $x \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ such that

$$\|zz^* - (a^{\oplus L(\delta)}) x x^* (a^{\oplus L(\delta)})\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \tag{8.2}$$

Moreover, note that x can be chosen so that

$$\|(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})x\| \in [1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}, 1],$$

hence

$$\|x^*(a^{\oplus L(\delta)}) h_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})x - x^*(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \tag{8.3}$$

By Lemma 8.14, there is $u_1 \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ such that $\|u_1\| < \sqrt{1+\varepsilon}$ and

$$\|u_1(x^*(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})x)u_1^* - (a^{\oplus L(\delta)}) x x^* (a^{\oplus L(\delta)})\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \tag{8.4}$$

Again by Lemma 8.14, there is $u_2 \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ such that $\|u_2\| < \sqrt{1+\varepsilon}$ and

$$\|u_2(zz^*)u_2^* - (b - \frac{\varepsilon}{5})_+\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}, \tag{8.5}$$

and hence (by (8.2), (8.3), (8.4), and (8.5))

$$\|u_2 u_1(x^*(a^{\oplus L(\delta)}) h_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})(a^{\oplus L(\delta)})x)u_1^* u_2^* - b\| < \varepsilon,$$

In other words, there is an element $w' \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ with $\|w'\| \le 1 + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\|w'(h_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}(a^{\oplus L(\delta)}))(w')^* - b\| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that $h_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}(a) = g_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}(a)a$. One has that there is $w \in \mathrm{M}_{L(\delta)}(A)$ with $\|w\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\|g_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}\|}$ such that

$$\|w(\bigoplus^{L} a)w^* - b\| < \varepsilon.$$

Write $w = (w_{i,j})$, $i, j = 1, 2, ..., L$. Then

$$\|b - \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_{1,i} a w_{1,i}^*\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\|w\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\|g_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}\|}$, one has that

$$\|w_{i,j}\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\|g_{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}\|} = N(\varepsilon), \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., L,$$

as desired. □

Corollary 8.16. *Let A be a separable nuclear C*-algebra without a unit which is KK-contracible. Let $\rho : A^+ \setminus \{0\} \to (0, +\infty)$ be a map.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\mathcal{H} \subset A^+$, $\delta > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative maps $\phi, \psi, \sigma : A \to B$, where B is a C-algebra with all traces are bounded and with strict comparison of positive elements, such that*

$$\tau(\sigma(a)) > \rho(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{H},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,\ \|\tau\| = 1,$$

then there is a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(B) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ such that

$$\|u(\phi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n})u^* - \psi(a) \oplus \underbrace{\sigma(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(a)}_{n}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. The statement follows directly from Lemma 8.15 and Proposition 8.12. □

A special case of the stable uniqueness theorem is when $A = B$, $\phi = \sigma = \mathrm{id}$, and $\psi = 0$.

Corollary 8.17. *Let A be a separable simple nuclear C*-algebra without a unit which is KK-equivalent to $\{0\}$. Assume all traces of A are bounded and A has strict comparison of positive elements.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite set, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a unitary $u \in \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(A) + \mathbb{C}1_{n+1}$ such that

$$\|u(a \oplus \underbrace{a \oplus \cdots \oplus a}_{n})u^* - 0 \oplus \underbrace{a \oplus \cdots \oplus a}_{n}\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. For any $a \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}$, define $\rho(a) = \inf\{\frac{1}{2}\tau(a) : \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1\}$. Since T^+A has a compact base, and A is simple, one has that $\rho(a) \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the statement follows from Corollary 8.16. □

Corollary 8.18. *Let A be a separable simple nuclear C*-algebra without a unit which is KK-contractible. Assume that all traces of A are bounded. Then $A \otimes U \cong A \otimes Q$ for any UHF algebra U.*

Proof. The statement follows from Corollary 8.17 and an approximate intertwining argument. We only sketch the outline in the following, and leave the details to readers.

For any UHF algebra U, there are UHF algebras U_1, U_2 such that $U \cong U_1 \otimes U_2$. Since $Q \cong U_1 \otimes Q$, in order to prove the statement, one may replace A by $A \otimes U_1$, and thus one may assume that A has strict comparison on positive elements (Corollary 4.7 of [Rør04]).

Write

$$\mathrm{M}_{n_1}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{n_2}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A \otimes U$$

$$\mathrm{M}_{m_1}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{m_2}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A \otimes Q,$$

where the connecting maps have the form $a \to a \otimes 1_{n_k}$.

On applying Corollary 8.17, after a telescoping operation in each inductive limit, there is an approximate commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{M}_{n_1}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}u_1} & \mathrm{M}_{n_2}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}u_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes U \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_2} & & & \\
\mathrm{M}_{m_1}(A) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ad}w_1]{} & \mathrm{M}_{m_2}(A) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ad}w_2]{} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes Q,
\end{array}$$

where each of the maps ϕ_i and ψ_j is $a \mapsto 0 \oplus \underbrace{a \oplus a \oplus \cdots \oplus a}_{k}$ for a sufficiently large k, and therefore $A \otimes U \cong A \otimes Q$. □

Lemma 8.19. *Let A be a separable simple nuclear C*-algebra which is KK-contractible, and assume that all traces of A are bounded. Let M_p and M_q be two UHF algebras. Then, there exist an isomorphism $\phi : A \otimes \mathrm{M}_p \to A \otimes \mathrm{M}_p \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$ and a continuous path of unitaries $u_t \in \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathrm{M}_p \otimes \mathrm{M}_q)$, $1 \le t < \infty$, such that $u_1 = 1$ and*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u_t^*(a \otimes r \otimes 1_q)u_t = \phi(a \otimes r), \quad a \in A,\ r \in \mathrm{M}_p.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 8.18 that $A \otimes \mathrm{M}_p \cong A \otimes Q$. Thus, it is enough to show that there exist an isomorphism $\phi : A \otimes Q \to A \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$ and a continuous path of unitaries $u_t \in \mathcal{M}(A \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q)$, such that $u_1 = 1$ and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u_t^*(a \otimes r \otimes 1_q)u_t = \phi(a \otimes r), \quad a \in A,\ r \in Q.$$

Pick any isomorphism $\psi : Q \to Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$. It is clear that $\phi := \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \psi : A \otimes Q \to A \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$ is an isomorphism. Then, the embedding map $Q \ni r \mapsto r \otimes 1_q \in Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$ and the isomorphism ψ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent; that is, there is a continuous part of unitary $v_t \in Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q$, $1 \le t < \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} v_t^*(r \otimes 1_p)v_t = \psi(r), \quad r \in Q.$$

One may assume that $v_1 = 1_{Q\otimes \mathrm{M}_q}$.

Consider the path

$$u_t = 1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes v_t \in \mathcal{M}(A \otimes Q \otimes \mathrm{M}_q).$$

Then, for any $a \otimes r \in A \otimes Q$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} u_t^*(a\otimes r\otimes 1_q)u_t &= \lim_{t\to\infty}(1_{\tilde{A}}\otimes v_t^*)(a\otimes r\otimes 1_q)(1_{\tilde{A}}\otimes v_t)\\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty} a\otimes (v_t^*(r\otimes 1_q)v_t)\\ &= a\otimes\psi(r)\\ &= \phi(a\otimes r),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Theorem 8.20. *Let A be a separable simple nuclear C*-algebra without a unit which is KK-contractible. Then $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}\cong A\otimes Q$.*

Proof. To prove the statement, it is enough to assume that A is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable and to show A is Q-stable, i.e., $A\cong A\otimes Q$.

Let us first prove the statement for A such that all traces of A are bounded. Write $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}$ as an inductive limit of $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$, where p,q are two relatively prime supernatural numbers such that $\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q = Q$. By corollary 3.4 of [TW07], in order to show that A is Q-stable, it is enough to show that $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ is Q-stable. Note that

$$A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q} = \{f\in \mathrm{C}([0,1], A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q) : f(0)\in A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes 1_q,\ f(1)\in A\otimes 1_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q\}.$$

Applying Lemma 8.19 to both endpoints, one obtains isomorphisms

$$\phi_0 : A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\to A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q,\quad \phi_1 : A\otimes\mathrm{M}_q\to A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q,$$

together with a continuous path of unitaries $u_t\in\mathcal{M}(A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q)$, $0<t<1$, such that $u_{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} u_t^*(a\otimes r\otimes 1_q)u_t = \phi_0(a\otimes r),\quad a\in A,\ r\in\mathrm{M}_p,$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 1} u_t^*(a\otimes 1_p\otimes r)u_t = \phi_1(a\otimes r),\quad a\in A,\ r\in\mathrm{M}_q.$$

Define the continuous field map

$$\Phi : A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}\to\mathrm{C}([0,1], A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q)$$

by

$$\Phi(f)(t) = u_t^* f(t) u_t,\quad t\in[0,1],$$

where $\Phi(f)(0)$ and $\Phi(f)(1)$ are understood as $\phi_0(f(0))$ and $\phi_1(f(1))$, respectively. Then the map Φ is an isomorphism (the inverse is $\Phi^{-1}(g)(t) = u_t g(t) u_t^*$, $t\in(0,1)$, $\Phi^{-1}(g)(0) = \phi_0^{-1}(g(0))$, and $\Phi^{-1}(g)(1) = \phi_0^{-1}(g(1))$), and hence $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}\cong\mathrm{C}([0,1], A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q)$. Since the trivial field $\mathrm{C}([0,1], A\otimes\mathrm{M}_p\otimes\mathrm{M}_q)$ is Q-stable, one has that $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ is Q-stable, as desired.

For a general simple C*-algebra A, pick a hereditary sub-C*-algebra $B\subset A$ such that all traces of B are bounded. By the Brown's theorem, the C*-algebra A and the C*-algebra B are stably isomorphic, and hence B is also KK-contractible. Since A is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable, it follows from Corollary 3.2 of [TW07] that B is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. Then, by the argument above, one has that B is Q-stable, and hence $A\otimes\mathcal{K}\cong B\otimes\mathcal{K}$ is Q-stable. Using Corollary 3.2 of [TW07] again, one has that A is Q-stable, as desired. □

8.2. **Uniqueness theorem.**

Lemma 8.21 (Theorem 6 of [Rob12]). *Consider $C \in \mathcal{R}_z$. For any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite set $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{Cu}(C)$ such that for any C*-algebra A with stable rank one and any homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : C \to A$, if*

$$\phi(g') \leq \psi(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(g') \leq \phi(g), \quad g', g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ with } g' \ll g,$$

then there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|u^*\phi(a)u - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Corollary 8.22. *Consider $C \in \mathcal{R}_z$. Let $\rho : C^+ \setminus \{0\} \to (0, +\infty)$ be a map. For any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite set $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1 \subset C^+$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that for any C*-algebra A with all traces bounded and A has strict comparison of positive elements, and any homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : C \to A$, if*

(1) *$\tau(\phi(a)), \tau(\psi(a)) > \rho(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A)$, $\|\tau\| = 1$, and*
(2) *$|\tau(\phi(a) - \psi(a))| < \sigma$, $a \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A)$, $\|\tau\| = 1$,*

then there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|u^*\phi(a)u - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{Cu}(C)$ be the finite subset obtained from Lemma 8.21. Write

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n\}$$

and list $\{(h_i', h_i) : i = 1, 2, ..., m\}$ as the list of pairs with $h_i' \ll h_i$. Note that since C is stably projectionless, none of g_i are from projections. Then, pick elements $c_i', c_i \in \mathrm{M}_\infty(C)$, such that

$$c_i' < c_i \quad \text{and} \quad h_i' < [c_i'] < [c_i] < h_i,$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}_\tau(h_i') \leq \tau(c_i') \leq \tau(c_i) \leq d_\tau(h_i), \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+C.$$

For each positive element $c_i - c_i'$, pike a positive element $d_i \in C$ such that

$$0 < d_i < c_i - c_i'.$$

Then set

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{d_1, d_2, ..., d_m\}.$$

and set

$$\sigma' = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\rho(d_i) : i = 1, 2, ..., m\}$$

Also choose a finite set $\mathcal{H} \subset C$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that if τ_1, τ_2 are linear functional of C with norm at most one satisfying

$$|\tau_1(a) - \tau_2(a)| < \sigma, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_1,$$

then

$$|\tau_1(b) - \tau_2(b)| < \sigma', \quad b = c_i', c_i, \ i = 1, 2, ..., m.$$

Then, the tuple $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \sigma)$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. Indeed, let A and $\phi, \psi : C \to A$ be given satisfying the assumption of the lemma. Then, for any $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A$ with $\|\tau\| = 1$, one has

$$\tau(\phi(c_i)) - \tau(\phi(c_i')) \geq \tau(\phi(d_i)) > \rho(d_i) > 2\sigma'$$
$$\tau(\psi(c_i)) - \tau(\psi(c_i')) \geq \tau(\psi(d_i)) > \rho(d_i) > 2\sigma'$$

and

$$|\tau(\phi(c_i')) - \tau(\psi(c_i'))| < \sigma' \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau(\phi(c_i)) - \tau(\psi(c_i))| < \sigma'.$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{d}_\tau(\psi(h_i')) \leq \tau(\psi(c_i')) < \tau(\phi(c_i')) + \sigma' < \tau(\phi(c_i)) - \sigma' < \tau(\phi(c_i)) \leq \mathrm{d}_\tau(\phi(h_i))$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}_\tau(\phi(h_i')) \leq \tau(\phi(c_i')) < \tau(\psi(c_i')) + \sigma' < \tau(\psi(c_i)) - \sigma' < \tau(\psi(c_i)) \leq \mathrm{d}_\tau(\psi(h_i)).$$

Since A has strict comparison, one has

$$\psi(h_i') < \phi(h_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(h_i') < \psi(h_i), \quad i = 1, 2, ...,$$

and therefore there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|u^*\phi(a)u - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}$$

as desired. □

Theorem 8.23. *Let $A \in \mathcal{R}$ be a simple separable C*-algebra, and let $\rho : A^+ \setminus \{0\} \to (0, +\infty)$ be a function satisfying*

$$\tau(a) \geq \rho(a), \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A, \ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Also assume that A has finite nuclear dimension and A is KK-equivalent to $\{0\}$.

Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes 1_Q$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite sets $\mathcal{G} \subset A, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes Q)^+$ and $\delta, \sigma > 0$ such that if $\phi : A \to A$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative linear contraction such that

1. *$\tau((\phi \otimes \mathrm{id})(a)) \geq \rho(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes Q)$, $\|\tau\| = 1$,*
2. *$|\tau((\phi \otimes \mathrm{id})(a)) - \tau(a)| < \sigma$, $a \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes Q)$, $\|\tau\| = 1$,*

then there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ such that

$$\|u^*(\phi(a) \otimes 1_Q)u - a \otimes 1_Q\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 8.16 to $\mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon/2$ with respect to A and $\frac{1}{2}\rho$, one obtains $(\mathcal{G}_0, \delta_0)$, $\mathcal{H}$, and n.

Applying Theorem 7.7, for arbitrary $\varepsilon' > 0$, there are projections $q, p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ and $S_1 \subset p_1(A \otimes Q)p_1$ with $S_1 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that

1. $q + p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = 1_{\tilde{A} \otimes Q}$, q is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to p_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,
2. $\|p_i a - a p_i\| < \varepsilon'$, $a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}$,
3. $p_i a p_i \in_{\varepsilon'} S_i := v_i S v_i^*$, $a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}$, where $v_i \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, are the partial isometries satisfying $v_i^* v_i = p_1$ and $v_i v_i^* = p_i$.

One then choose ε' sufficiently small so that

$$\|a-(qaq+p_1ap_1+p_2ap_2+\cdots+p_nap_n)\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{8},\quad a\in\mathcal{F}, \tag{8.6}$$

the maps $a\mapsto qaq$ and $a\mapsto p_iap_i$, $i=1,2,...,n$, are $\mathcal{G}_0$-δ_0-multiplicative, and

$$\tau(p_iap_i)>\frac{1}{2}\rho(a),\quad a\in\mathcal{H},\ \tau\in \mathrm{T}^+(p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i),\ \|\tau\|=1. \tag{8.7}$$

Applying Corollary 8.22 to $p_i\mathcal{F}p_i$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}>0$ (again, with ε' sufficiently small, let us assume that $p_i\mathcal{F}p_i\subset S_i$) with respect to $\frac{1}{2}\rho$ and S_i, one obtains $\mathcal{H}_{0,i},\mathcal{H}_{1,i}\subset S_i\subset A\otimes Q$ and $\sigma_0>0$. Set $\mathcal{H}_0=\bigcup_{i=1}^n\mathcal{H}_{0,i}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1=\bigcup_{i=1}^n\mathcal{H}_{1,i}$.

Choose a finite set $\mathcal{G}\subset A$ sufficiently large and $\delta>0$ sufficiently small that if $\phi:A\to A$ is $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative, then there is $\tilde{\Phi}:\tilde{A}\otimes Q\to\tilde{A}\otimes Q$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix algebra generated by $q,p_1,p_2,...,p_n$ is a homomorphism, and

$$\|(\tilde{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{id})(a)-\tilde{\Phi}(a)\|<\varepsilon''',\quad a\in\mathcal{H}_0\cup\mathcal{H}_1\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i\mathcal{F}p_i,$$

where

$$\varepsilon'''=\min\{\frac{1}{24}\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}\sigma_0,\frac{1}{4}\rho(h):h\in\mathcal{H}_0\}.$$

Moreover, the map $a\mapsto\tilde{\Phi}(q(a\otimes 1)q)$ is $\mathcal{G}_0$-δ_0-multiplicative.

Then $(\mathcal{G},\delta)$, $\mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}_1$, and $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}\sigma_0$ satisfy the theorem.

Indeed, if $\phi:A\to A$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive map satisfying the conditions of the theorem, then

$$\tau((\phi\otimes\mathrm{id})(a))\geq\rho(a),\quad a\in\mathcal{H}_0,\ \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+(A\otimes Q),\ \|\tau\|=1, \tag{8.8}$$

and

$$\|\tau(\phi(a))-\tau(a)\|<\sigma,\quad a\in\mathcal{H}_1,\ \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+(A\otimes Q),\ \|\tau\|=1. \tag{8.9}$$

Denote by $\tilde{\phi}:\tilde{A}\to\tilde{A}$ the unitization of ϕ, and consider the map $\tilde{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{id}:\tilde{A}\otimes Q\to\tilde{A}\otimes Q$. Since ϕ is $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative, there is $\tilde{\Phi}:\tilde{A}\otimes Q\to\tilde{A}\otimes Q$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix algebra generated by $q,p_1,p_2,...,p_n$ is a homomorphism, and

$$\|(\tilde{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{id})(a)-\tilde{\Phi}(a)\|<\varepsilon''',\quad a\in\mathcal{H}_0\cup\mathcal{H}_1\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i\mathcal{F}p_i. \tag{8.10}$$

Moreover, the map $a\mapsto\tilde{\Phi}(q(a\otimes 1)q)$ is $\mathcal{G}_0$-δ_0-multiplicative. Note that

$$[\tilde{\Phi}(q)]=[(\tilde{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{id})(q)]=[q]\quad\text{and}\quad[\tilde{\Phi}(p_i)]=[(\tilde{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{id})(p_i)]=[p_i],\quad i=1,2,...,n.$$

Since $\tilde{A}\otimes Q$ has stable rank one, there is a unitary $u_0\in\tilde{A}\otimes Q$ such that

$$u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}(q)u_0=q\quad\text{and}\quad u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}(p_i)u_0=p_i,\quad i=1,2,...,n,$$

and hence the image of $p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i$ (or $q(A\otimes Q)q$) under the map $u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}u_0$ is inside $p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i$ (or $q(A\otimes Q)q$).

Note that by (8.10), for any $a\in\mathcal{H}_{0,i}\cup\mathcal{H}_{1,i}\cup p_i\mathcal{F}p_i$,

$$p_i(u_0^*(\phi\otimes\mathrm{id})(a)u_0)p_i\approx_{\varepsilon'''}p_i(u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}(a)u_0)p_i=u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}(a)u_0\approx_{\varepsilon'''}u_0^*(\phi\otimes\mathrm{id})(a)u_0. \tag{8.11}$$

Consider the map

$$S_i \ni a \mapsto p_i u_0^*(\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a)u_0p_i \in p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i.$$

By (8.8), (8.9), and (8.11),

$$\tau(p_iu_0^*(\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a)u_0p_i) \ge \frac{1}{2}\rho(a), \quad a\in \mathcal{H}_{0,i},\ \tau\in \mathrm{T}^+(p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i),\ \|\tau\|=1,$$

and

$$\|\tau(p_iu_0^*(\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a)u_0p_i) - \tau(a)\| < \sigma_0, \quad a\in \mathcal{H}_{1,i},\ \tau\in \mathrm{T}^+(p_i(A\otimes Q)p_i),\ \|\tau\|=1.$$

It then follows from Corollary 8.22 (with ψ being the embedding map $S_i\subset p_iAp_i$) that there is a unitary $u_{1,i}\in p_i(\tilde{A}\otimes Q)p_i$ such that

$$\|u_{1,i}^*p_iu_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a))u_0p_iu_{1,i} - a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \quad a\in p_i\mathcal{F}p_i,$$

and hence by (8.11), one has

$$\|u_{1,i}^*u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a))u_0u_{1,i} - a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}+2\varepsilon''', \quad a\in p_i\mathcal{F}p_i. \tag{8.12}$$

Put

$$u_1 = q\oplus u_{1,i}\oplus\cdots\oplus u_{1,n}.$$

One has that for any $a\in\mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_1^*u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a))u_0u_1 \\
\approx_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}} \quad & u_1^*u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(q(a\otimes 1_Q)q + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(a\otimes 1_Q)p_i))u_0u_1 \qquad \text{(by (8.6))} \\
= \quad & u_1^*u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(q(a\otimes 1_Q)q))u_0u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_1^*u_0^*(\phi\otimes \mathrm{id}(p_i(a\otimes 1_Q)p_i))u_0u_1 \\
\approx_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}+2\varepsilon'''} \quad & u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(q(a\otimes 1_Q)q))u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(a\otimes 1_Q)p_i \qquad \text{(by (8.12))} \\
\approx_{\varepsilon'''} \quad & u_0^*(\tilde{\Phi}(q(a\otimes 1_Q)q))u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(a\otimes 1_Q)p_i, \qquad \text{(by (8.10))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|u_1^*u_0^*((\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})(a))u_0u_1 - (\phi_0(a)+p_1ap_1+\cdots+p_nap_n)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+3\varepsilon''', \quad a\in\mathcal{F}, \tag{8.13}$$

where

$$\phi_0 : A\ni a\mapsto u_0^*\tilde{\Phi}(q(a\otimes 1_Q)q)u_0 \in q(A\otimes Q)q.$$

Note that ((8.7))

$$\tau(p_iap_i)\ge\frac{1}{2}\rho(a), \quad a\in\mathcal{H},\ \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+(p_iAp_i),\ \|\tau\|=1,$$

and the maps $a\mapsto p_iap_i$, $a\mapsto qaq$, and ϕ_0 are $\mathcal{G}_0$-δ_0-multiplicative; then, compare the maps

$$A\ni a\mapsto \phi_0(a)+p_1ap_1+\cdots+p_nap_n\in A\otimes Q\cong \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(q(A\otimes Q)q)$$

and

$$A \ni a \mapsto qaq + p_1ap_1 + \cdots + p_nap_n \in A \otimes Q \cong \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(q(A \otimes Q)q).$$

By Corollary 8.16, there is a unitary $u_2 \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ such that

$$\|u_2^*(\phi_0(a) + p_1ap_1 + \cdots + p_nap_n)u_2 - (qaq + p_1ap_1 + \cdots + p_nap_n)\| < \varepsilon/2, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{8.14}$$

Hence, by (8.14), (8.13), (8.6), one has

$$\|u_2^*u_1^*u_0^*((\phi(a) \otimes 1_Q)u_0u_1u_2 - a \otimes 1_Q\| < \frac{7}{8}\varepsilon + 3\varepsilon''' \leq \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},$$

as desired. □

Note that any isomorphism $Q \to Q \otimes Q$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to the embedding $Q \ni a \mapsto a \otimes 1 \in Q \otimes Q$. Also note that for any simple C*-algebra in the reduction class, one always can define

$$\rho : A^+ \ni a \mapsto \min\{\tau(a) : \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1\} \in (0, +\infty),$$

and it satisfies

$$\tau(a) \geq \rho(a), \quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

So, one may remove the density function ρ from the statement of Theorem 8.23:

Theorem 8.24. *Let $A \in \mathcal{R}$ be a simple separable C*-algebra. Assume that A has finite nuclear dimension, A is KK-equivalent to $\{0\}$, and $A \cong A \otimes Q$.*

Then, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes Q$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite sets $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes Q, \mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes Q)^+$ and $\delta, \sigma > 0$ such that if $\phi : A \otimes Q \to A \otimes Q$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative linear contraction such that

$$|\tau(\phi(a)) - \tau(a)| < \sigma,\ a \in \mathcal{H},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes Q),\ \|\tau\| = 1,$$

then there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ such that

$$\|u^*\phi(a)u - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

8.3. **Classification theorem.**

Theorem 8.25. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ be simple separable KK-contractible C*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension. Suppose that*

$$\xi : (\mathrm{T}^+B, \Delta_B) \to (\mathrm{T}^+A, \Delta_A),$$

where Δ_B and Δ_A are simplexes of tracial states of B and A, respectively. Then, one has that $A \cong B$, and the C-algebra isomorphism can be chosen to carry the invariant isomorphism.*

Proof. Since A, B have finite nuclear dimension, one has that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ and $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{Z}$. By Theorem 8.20, $A \cong A \otimes Q$ and $B \cong B \otimes Q$, where Q is the universal UHF algebra.

Pick increasing sequences of finite sets $\mathcal{F}_{A,1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{A,2} \subset \cdots \subset A$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B,1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{B,2} \subset \cdots \subset B$ with dense unions; pick $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \cdots > 0$ with $\sum \varepsilon_n = 1$.

Apply Theorem 8.24 to A with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{A,1}$ and ε_1, one obtains $\mathcal{G}_{A_1}, \mathcal{H}_{A,1}, \delta_{A,1}, \sigma_{A,1} > 0$.

By Corollary 7.9, $A \in \mathrm{TA}\mathcal{R}_z$, there is a Razak algebra $S \subset A$ and $\theta_1 : A \to S$ which is $\mathcal{G}_{A,1}$-$\delta_{A,1}$-multiplicative map such that

$$|\tau(\theta(a)) - \tau(a)| < \frac{\sigma_{A,1}}{2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{A,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Consider the Cuntz group map $[\xi] \circ [\iota] : \mathrm{Cu}(S) \to \mathrm{Cu}(B)$, where $\iota : S \to A$ is the embedding map. Since B has stable rank one, there is a homomorphism $\phi_1' : S \to B$ such that

$$[\phi_1'] = [\xi] \circ [\iota].$$

Therefore,

$$\tau(\phi_1'(a)) = \xi(\tau)(a), \quad a \in S,\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,$$

and hence

$$\tau(\phi_1'(\theta(a))) = \xi(\tau)(\theta(a)) \approx_{\frac{\sigma_{A,1}}{2}} \xi(\tau)(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{A,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

Denote by $\phi_1 = \phi_1' \circ \theta : A \to B$, and one has

$$|\tau(\phi_1(a)) - \xi(\tau)(a)| < \frac{\sigma_{A,1}}{2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{A,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{8.15}$$

Consider the C*-algebra B. Applying Theorem 8.24 to B with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{B,1}$ and ε_1, one obtains $\mathcal{G}_{B,1}$, $\mathcal{H}_{B,1}$, $\delta_{B,1} > 0$ and $\sigma_{B,1} > 0$.

Since $B \in \mathrm{TA}\mathcal{R}_z$, with (8.15), the same argument as above produces a completely positive contraction $\psi_1 : B \to A$ such that ψ_1 is $\mathcal{G}_{B,1}$-$\delta_{B,1}$-multiplicative, $\psi_1 \circ \phi_1$ is $\mathcal{G}_{A,1}$-$\delta_{A,1}$-multiplicative,

$$|\tau(\psi_1 \circ \phi_1(a)) - \tau(a)| < \sigma_{A,1}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{A,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1, \tag{8.16}$$

and

$$|\tau(\psi_1(a)) - \xi^{-1}(\tau)(a)| < \frac{\sigma_{B,1}}{2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{B,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+A,\ \|\tau\| = 1. \tag{8.17}$$

By (8.16), it following from Theorem 8.24 that there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ such that

$$\|u^*\psi_1(\phi_1(a))u - a\| < \varepsilon_1, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{A,1}.$$

Replace ψ_1 by $\mathrm{ad}(u) \circ \psi_1 : B \to A$, one has that

$$\|\psi_1(\phi_1(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon_1, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{A,1};$$

that is, the following diagram commute up to ε_1 on $\mathcal{F}_{A,1}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & = & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & \\ B & & \end{array}.$$

Applying Theorem 8.24 again to A on $\mathcal{F}_{A,2}$ and ε_2, one obtains $\mathcal{G}_{A,2}, \mathcal{H}_{A,2}$, $\delta_{A,2}, \sigma_{A,2} > 0$.

Using the fact that $A \in \mathrm{TA}\mathcal{R}_z$ again and with (8.17), one obtains a completely positive contraction $\phi_2 : A \to B$ such that ψ_2 is $\mathcal{G}_{A,2}$-$\delta_{A,2}$-multiplicative, $\phi_2 \circ \psi_1$ is $\mathcal{G}_{B,1}$-$\delta_{B,1}$-multiplicative,

$$|\tau(\phi_2 \circ \psi_1(a)) - \tau(a)| < \sigma_{B,1}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{B,1},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,\ \|\tau\| = 1, \tag{8.18}$$

and

$$|\tau(\psi_2(a)) - \xi(\tau)(a)| < \frac{\sigma_{A,2}}{2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{H}_{A,2},\ \tau \in \mathrm{T}^+B,\ \|\tau\| = 1.$$

By (8.18), it following from Theorem 8.24 that there is a unitary $w \in \tilde{B} \otimes Q$ such that

$$\|w^*\phi_2(\psi_1(a))w - a\| < \varepsilon_1, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{B,1}.$$

Replace ϕ_2 by $\mathrm{ad}(w) \circ \phi_2 : A \to B$, one has that

$$\|\phi_2(\psi_1(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon_1, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{B,1}.$$

Thus, we have the following approximate commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & = & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} \\ B & = & B \end{array} .$$

Repeat this procedure, one has the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} A & = & A & = & A & = & \cdots & = & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_2} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_3} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_3} & & & \\ B & = & B & = & B & = & \cdots & = & B \end{array}$$

such that

$$\|\psi_n(\phi_n(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon_n, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{A,n},$$

and

$$\|\phi_{n+1}(\psi_n(a)) - a\| < \varepsilon_n, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{C,n},$$

and hence $A \cong B$, as desired. □

Theorem 8.26. *The class of simple separable KK-contractible C*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension is classifiable. If* $\mathrm{T}^+A \neq \{0\}$*, the invariant is* $(\mathrm{T}^+A, \Sigma_A)$*, and in this case, any a such C*-algebra A a simple inductive limits of Razak algebras.*

If $\mathrm{T}^+A = \{0\}$*, then the invariant is the Murray-von Neumann semigroup together with the class of the projections of the algebra.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{T}^+A = \{0\}$, then A is purely infinite. It follows from the classification of Kirchberg and Phillips that A is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_2$ and is classified by the Murray-von Neumann semigroup together with the class of the projections of the algebra.

Assume $\mathrm{T}^+A \neq \{0\}$. Let A, B be two such C*-algebras satisfying

$$(\mathrm{T}^+A, \Sigma A) \cong (\mathrm{T}^+B, \Sigma B).$$

Consider $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and note that the embeddings $A \to A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $B \to B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ induce homeomorphisms $\mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{T}^+A$ and $\mathrm{T}^+(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{T}^+B$ respectively. Thus, one has that $\mathrm{T}^+(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{T}^+(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong C$ for a topological cone C with a base Δ which is a compact metrizable Choquet simplex.

Consider the continuous affine function which is constant 1 on Δ, and denote $h_A \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $h_B \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ the positive elements which the Cuntz semigroup class is the given continuous

affine function, respectively. Then one has that $\overline{h_A(A\otimes\mathcal{K})h_A}\in\mathcal{R}$ and $\overline{h_B(B\otimes\mathcal{K})h_B}\in\mathcal{R}$, and $\overline{h_A(A\otimes\mathcal{K})h_A}\in\mathcal{R}$ and $\overline{h_B(B\otimes\mathcal{K})h_B}\in\mathcal{R}$ has the same Elliott invariants; hence, by Theorem 8.25, $\overline{h_A(A\otimes\mathcal{K})h_A}\cong\overline{h_B(B\otimes\mathcal{K})h_B}$, and by Brown's Theorem, $A\otimes\mathcal{K}\cong B\otimes\mathcal{K}$.

Thus, one may identify $A=\overline{aDa}$ and $B=\overline{bDb}$ where D a stable C*-algebra (with stable rank one). Since $\Sigma_A\cong\Sigma_B$, one has that

$$\|\tau|_A\|=\|\tau|_B\|,\quad \tau\in \mathrm{T}^+D.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\tau|_A\|=\mathrm{d}_\tau(a)\quad\text{and}\quad\|\tau|_B\|=\mathrm{d}_\tau(b).$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{d}_\tau(a)=\mathrm{d}_\tau(b),\quad \tau\in\mathrm{T}^+D,$$

and hence $[a]=[b]\in\mathrm{Cu}(D)$. Since D has stable rank one, it follows from Theorem 3 of [CEI08] that $A\cong B$.

It follows from [Tsa05] that the class of simple inductive limit of Razak algebras exhausts all possible values of the invariant. Thus, the class of simple, separable, finite nuclear dimension, KK-contractible, finite C*-algebras coincides with the class of simple inductive limits of Razak algebras. □

Remark 8.27. The invariants of Theorem 8.26 in the finite case and infinite case to the semigroup can be unifies as

$$(\mathrm{V}(A)\setminus\{0\})\sqcup\mathrm{LAff}\mathrm{T}^+\mathrm{A},$$

where $\mathrm{V}(A)$ is the Murray-von Neumann semigroup, $\mathrm{LAff}\mathrm{T}^+\mathrm{A}$ is the semigroup of positive lower semicontinuous affine functions on T^+A arising from the Cuntz semigroup of A, together with the class of the algebra in it. If $\mathrm{T}^+A\neq\{0\}$, then this invariant is isomorphic to the Cuntz semigroup of A together with the class of the algebra (assuming A is simple, separable, nuclear, and Jiang-Su stable); if $\mathrm{T}^+A=\{0\}$, then this invariant is the Murray-von Neumann semigroup together with the class of the projections of the algebra.

Corollary 8.28. *Let A,B be simple separable KK-contractible C*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension. If there is a homomorphism $\xi:(\mathrm{T}^+B,\Sigma B)\to(\mathrm{T}^+A,\Sigma A)$, then there is a C*-algebra homomorphism $\phi:A\cong B$ such that $\phi_*=\xi$.*

Proof. This follows from the classification of Razak algebras ([Raz02]). □

Consider the C*-algebra $\mathcal{W}$, the simple inductive limit of Razak algebras with

$$(\mathrm{T}^+\mathcal{W},\Sigma\mathcal{W})\cong([0,+\infty),[0,1]).$$

Corollary 8.29. *Let A be a simple separable C*-algebra such that $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}$ has finite nuclear dimension. Then the C*-algebra $A\otimes\mathcal{W}$ is classifiable. In particular, $\mathcal{W}\otimes\mathcal{W}\cong\mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.9, $A\otimes\mathcal{W}$ is KK-contractible. Note that

$$A\otimes\mathcal{W}\cong A\otimes(Z\otimes\mathcal{W})\cong(A\otimes\mathcal{Z})\otimes\mathcal{W}.$$

Then $A\otimes\mathcal{W}$ has finite nuclear dimension, and the corollary follows from Theorem 8.26. □

References

[Bla78] B. E. Blackadar, *Weak expectations and injectivity in operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), no. 1, 49–53. MR 0467329

[CE08] A. Ciuperca and G. A. Elliott, *A remark on invariants for C*-algebras of stable rank one*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), no. 5, Art. ID rnm 158, 33. MR 2418289

[CEI08] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C*-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193. MR 2458043 (2010m:46101)

[CP79] J. Cunze and G. K. Pedersen, *Equivalence and traces on C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), 135–164.

[DE01] M. Dadarlat and S. Eilers, *Asymptotic unitary equivalence in KK-theory*, K-Theory **23** (2001), no. 4, 305–322. MR 1860859

[DE02] M. Dădărlat and S. Eilers, *On the classification of nuclear C*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), no. 1, 168–210. MR 1901373 (2003d:19006)

[EGLN15a] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *The classification of simple separable unital $\mathcal{Z}$-stable locally ASH algebras*, Submitted (2015).

[EGLN15b] ———, *On the classification of simple amenable C*-algebras with finite decomposition rank, II.*

[EK01] G. A. Elliott and D. Kucerovsky, *An abstract Voiculescu-Brown-Douglas-Fillmore absorption theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **198** (2001), no. 2, 385–409. MR 1835515

[Ell96] G. A. Elliott, *An invariant for simple C*-algebras*, Canadian Mathematical Society. 1945–1995 **3** (1996), 61–90.

[EN16] G. A. Elliott and Z. Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable C*-algebras with finite decomposition rank*, "Operator Algebras and their Applications: A Tribute to Richard V. Kadison", Contemporary Mathematics (R. S. Doran and E. Park, eds.), vol. 671, Amer. Math. Soc., 2016, pp. 117–125.

[ERS11] G. A. Elliott, L. Robert, and L. Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C*-algebra*, Amer. J. Math **133** (2011), no. 4, 969–1005.

[Gab16] J. Gabe, *A note on nonunital absorbing extensions*, Pacific J. Math. **284** (2016), no. 2, 383–393. MR 3544306

[GLN15] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *Classification of finite simple amenable $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebras.*

[Jac13] B. Jacelon, *A simple, monotracial, stably projectionless C*-algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **87** (2013), no. 2, 365–383. MR 3046276

[Raz02] S. Razak, *On the classification of simple stably projectionless C*-algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), no. 1, 138–224. MR 1880962 (2003h:46091)

[Rob12] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 5, 2802–2836. MR 2970466

[Rør04] M. Rørdam, *The stable and the real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$-absorbing C*-algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), no. 10, 1065–1084. MR MR2106263 (2005k:46164)

[Tsa05] K. Tsang, *On the positive tracial cones of simple stably projectionless C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **227** (2005), no. 1, 188–199. MR 2165091 (2007j:46099)

[TW07] A. S. Toms and W. Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 8, 3999–4029. MR 2302521

[TWW] A Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, *Quasidiagonality of nuclear C*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2), to appear.

[Win12] W. Winter, *Nuclear dimension and $\mathcal{Z}$-stability of pure C*-algebras*, Invent. Math. **187** (2012), no. 2, 259–342. MR 2885621

[Win16] ———, *Classifying crossed product C*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2016), no. 3, 793–820.

[WZ10] W. Winter and J. Zacharias, *The nuclear dimension of C*-algebras*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 2, 461–498. MR 2609012 (2011e:46095)

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2E4
E-mail address: elliott@math.toronto.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA
E-mail address: zniu@uwyo.edu